

Political Violence and Collective Aggression: Considering the Past, Imagining the Future



Editors:
Rachel Monaghan
J. Martín Ramírez
Tali K. Walters

2009 CICA-STR

Political Violence and Collective Aggression:

Considering the Past, Imagining the Future

We would like to thank the Belfast Interface Project for allowing us to use their photo by Frankie Quinn of the Madrid Street interface in east Belfast (Photo: Frankie Quinn © Belfast Interface Project) on the front of this booklet. For more information on the work of the Belfast Interface Project please visit their website: www.belfastinterfaceproject.org

2009 CICA-STR

International Conference on
Political Violence and Collective Aggression:
Considering the Past, Imagining the Future
Programme and Abstracts

Jordanstown, Northern Ireland

2 - 5 September 2009

CONTENT

INTRODUCTION	7
CONFERENCE ORGANISERS	9
SPONSORS	12
SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMME	13
ABSTRACTS (in chronological order)	29
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS (in alphabetical order)	79
PARTICIPANTS' INDEX (in alphabetical order)	121
NOTES	125

INTRODUCTION

This week, 80 members of the world social science community are gathered here in Northern Ireland, at the Jordanstown campus of the University of Ulster for the 2009 CICA-STR International Conference on Political Violence and Collective Aggression. The Coloquios Internacionales sobre Cerebro y Agresión (CICA) and the Society for Terrorism Research (STR), along with the Social and Policy Research Institute (SPRI) at the University of Ulster, are hosts to researchers, thought leaders, and practitioners from around the globe in this third annual gathering to learn, share ideas, and influence each other in the study of political violence and collective aggression.

This year, Rachel Monaghan, Senior Lecturer in Criminology at the University of Ulster and SPRI member, is the onsite conference organiser. With her extensive professional contacts, Dr. Monaghan brought together many of her colleagues from the United Kingdom to present on such wide ranging topics as the definitions of aggression and terrorism, the use of words in terrorism and political violence, the impact of interface areas in Northern Ireland, and an examination of Northern Ireland in the post-peace process period. Global issues related to political violence and collective aggression will be addressed as well by participants from Estonia, Poland, Italy, Croatia, Iran, Ireland, Portugal, Japan, Spain, Australia, and the United States.

The Society for Terrorism Research is proud to host a celebration at the conference to launch its flagship journal *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*. There will be an opportunity to meet journal co-editors Samuel Justin Sinclair and Daniel Antonius.

In 2006, J. Martín Ramírez of CICA was a fellow at Harvard University in Boston, on leave from the Universidad Complutense in Madrid as a Professor of Psychobiology and Head of the Aggression Research Group. That year, Tali K. Walters also worked in Boston as a forensic psychologist and member of

the Governing Board of the newly emerging Society for Terrorism Research. Brought together by their common interests in aggressive behaviour, and with the goal of creating venues for interdisciplinary global collaboration, they organized their first CICA/STR International Conference. A broad array of professionals were brought together to meet for three days, in a relaxed venue, with a small enough number of people that everyone would have a name, and would, we hoped, start collaborations to better understand, and thus reduce, the violence associated with politics. We believe that it is through this interdisciplinary, global collaboration that such profound work will occur.

The first CICA/STR International Conference convened in Miraflores de la Sierra, Spain, in September 2007. Many participants from the first conference, eager to continue relationships started in Miraflores, returned to the second CICA/STR International Conference in Zakopane, Poland the following July 2008. This year, we welcome back many participants from past years. They know that, through well planned organisation, personal attention to attendants, and a sound scientific programme, they will have the opportunity to share their research and ideas, learn from international colleagues, influence others, and develop interdisciplinary relationships that lead to long term personal and professional collaboration.

In the past years, our invitation to participants has been to *learn* new information, *share* ideas developed from individual cultures and disciplines, and *influence* each others work for when they return to their home countries. This year, we would like to expand our invitation. We invite you to become *collaborators*.

Learn ● Share ● Influence ● Collaborate

Jordanstown, 2nd September 2009

CONFERENCE ORGANISERS

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

J. Martín Ramírez

CICA Chairman
President of the Spanish Pugwash Movement
and
Universidad Complutense de Madrid
(Madrid, Spain)

Tali K. Walters

Vice-President, Society for Terrorism Research
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, Tufts University School of Medicine
(Boston, USA)

Rachel Monaghan

Social and Policy Research Institute, University of Ulster
(Jordanstown, Northern Ireland)

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Rachel Monaghan

Social and Policy Research Institute, University of Ulster
(Jordanstown, Northern Ireland)

J. Martín Ramírez

CICA Chairman
President of the Spanish Pugwash Movement
and
Universidad Complutense de Madrid
(Madrid, Spain)

Tali K. Walters

Vice-President, Society for Terrorism Research
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, Tufts University School of Medicine
(Boston, USA)

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY GROUP

John Archer, Former President of the International Society for Research on Aggression (ISRA), University of Central Lancashire (England)

Caroline Blanchard, Former President of the ISRA, University of Hawaii (USA)

Adam Fraczek, Former President of the ISRA, Maria Grzegorzewska Academy of Special Education (Poland)

Arthur J. Kendall, President of the Capital Area Social Psychological Association, Advisory Board of Society for Terrorism Research (USA)

Malgorzata Kossowska, President of the Polish Association of Social Psychology, Jagiellonian University (Poland)

Alice Katherine LoCicero, Former President of the Society for Terrorism Research, Endicott College (USA)

Rachel Monaghan, Member of the Social and Policy Research Institute, University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

Bob Osborne, Director of the Social and Policy Research Institute, University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

J. Martín Ramírez, Chairman of CICA, President of the Spanish Pugwash Movement, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (Spain)

Deborah S. Richardson, President of the ISRA, Co-Organiser of the Augusta CICA, University of Georgia (USA)

Samuel Justin Sinclair, President of the Society for Terrorism Research (STR), Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School (USA)

Tali K. Walters, Vice-President, Society for Terrorism Research, Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, Tufts University School of Medicine (USA)

Guillermo Velarde, President of the Institute of Nuclear Fusion, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (Spain)

SPONSORS

Scientific Sponsors

CICA The Coloquios Internacionales sobre Cerebro y Agresión has promoted and supported a multidisciplinary understanding of conflict and aggression through international, residential colloquia on the relationship between the brain and the social context of aggression. Since 1983, with the first CICA in Seville, 26 scientific meetings have been held in several countries in Europe, Asia, Africa and also in the Americas.

STR The Society for Terrorism Research was launched in 2006 as an international, multi-disciplinary organisation of theoretical and empirical researchers in the behavioural sciences. STR's mission is to enhance knowledge and understanding of terrorism through the integration of findings from the fields of anthropology, biology, economics, political science, psychology, sociology, law and other behavioural sciences.

SPRI The Social and Policy Research Institute at the University of Ulster seeks to harness and develop the highest quality research undertaken in the Faculty of Social Sciences within the broad areas of social and public policy, social work, education, politics and international affairs. It provides an institutional framework for undertaking high quality research and for the development of a vibrant research culture through organising seminars, colloquia and lectures and a forum for engaging with policy makers and those involved with service delivery in the public and voluntary sectors.

Social Sponsors

- **SPRI, University of Ulster**
- **Taylor and Francis**

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMME

WEDNESDAY

2 September 2009

13:00 **Registration and Coffee**

14:00 – 14:45 ***Opening Ceremony***

Professor Alistair Adair,

Provost of Jordanstown campus,
University of Ulster

Rachel Monaghan, Local Organiser

J. Martín Ramírez, Conference Co-Organiser,
CICA Chair

Samuel Justin Sinclair, President,
Society for Terrorism Research

14:45 – 15:45 **Keynote Speech**

Chair: **Carmel Roulston** (University of Ulster,
Northern Ireland)

Speaker: **Dame Nuala O’Loan** (Ireland’s Special Envoy
for Timor Leste and for UNSCR 1325, Women,
Peace and Security)
Policing in Northern Ireland:
Enabling the Future through the Past

15:45 – 16:15 **Tea and Coffee**

16:15 – 17:45**Symposium****Symposium I****‘Defining the Crime of Aggression’: The Relationship between State Crime and Individual Responsibility**

Convenors:

Chris Lamont and Gabriele Porretto
(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

Commentator:

Jean Allain (Queen’s University Belfast,
Northern Ireland)

Participants:

William Henderson (Glasgow Caledonian
University, Scotland)
Chris Lamont (University of Ulster, Northern
Ireland)
Yassin A M’Boge (Queen’s University Belfast,
Northern Ireland)
Gabriele Porretto (University of Ulster,
Northern Ireland)**17:45 – 18:00****Break****18:00 – 19:30****Parallel Panel Session 1:****Panel A****Words Matter When Talking About Terrorism**

Chair:

Samuel Justin Sinclair (STR and Massachusetts
General Hospital, USA)

Participants:

Dominic Bryan (Queen’s University Belfast,
Northern Ireland)
**Why There is No Such Thing as Terrorism:
Problems of Definition**

Harrison Weinstein, Darvis Frazer and Bruce Bongar (Palo Alto University, USA)

Using the Language of Terror to Identify Motivations: A Content Analysis of Islamic Terrorists and Irish Republican Army Messages

Dominik Güss (University of North Florida, USA)

Suicide Terrorism: Words Can Matter

Panel B

Identifying Triggers for Terrorism and Political Violence

Chair:

Joshua Hill (Sam Houston State University, USA)

Participants:

Kathleen Smarick and Richard L. Legault (National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism, USA)
Ballot and Bombs? Terrorist Activity during National Electoral Campaigns

Cornelia Beyer (University of Hull, England)
Violent Globalism – Conflict in Response to Empire

Lorenzo Gabutti (RAI Italian Radio and Television, Italy)
Thomas Hobbes and the Containment of Aggression

20:00

Dinner

THURSDAY**3 September 2009****8:30 – 9:30****Breakfast** (for those staying on campus)**09:30 – 11:00****Parallel Panel Session 2:****Panel C****Some Thoughts on the Islamic Terrorist Threat in Europe**

Chair:

Roger MacGinty (University of St. Andrews, Scotland)

Participants:

Malgorzata Kossowska (Jagiellonian University, Poland), **Agnieszka Golec de Zavala**, (Middlesex University, England) and **Thomasz Kubik** (Jagiellonian University, Poland)

Impact of the Way People Perceived Terrorists on the Fear of Future Terrorist Attacks

Felipe Duarte (Portuguese Catholic University, Portugal)

Political Subversion or Religious Violence: The Threat of Al-Qaeda Ideology in Europe

Emmanuel Karagiannis (University of Macedonia, Greece)

European Converts to Islam: An Evolving Threat?

Panel D**State Attempts to Counter Terrorism**

Chair:

Jessie Blackbourn (Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland)

- Participants: **Jo Doody** (University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)
Moral Panics and the Strategy of Exclusion 1974
- Gavin Boyd** (University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)
RUC GC – demons or altruistic mortals?
- Onder Bakircioglu** (Queen’s University Belfast, Northern Ireland)
The Future of Preventive Wars: The Case of Iraq
- 11:00 – 11:30** **Tea and Coffee**
- 11:30 – 13:00** **Parallel Symposia:**
- Symposium II** **The Future is Critical: The Critical Turn in ‘Terrorism’ Studies**
- Chair: **Saideh Lotfian** (University of Tehran, Iran)
- Participants: **Marie Breen-Smyth** (Aberystwyth University, Wales)
Ayla Göl (Aberystwyth University, Wales)
Charlotte Heath-Kelly (Aberystwyth University, Wales)
Richard Jackson (Aberystwyth University, Wales)
- Symposium III** **Social-psychological Predicators of Support for Political Violence: Social Identity, Group-based Threat, and Efficacy**
- Convenors: **Nicole Tausch and Rim Saab**
(Cardiff University, Wales)

Participants: **Rim Saab** (Cardiff University, Wales)
Russell Spears (Cardiff University, Wales)
Nicole Tausch (Cardiff University, Wales)

13:00 – 14:00 **Lunch**

14:00 – 15:30 **Parallel Panel Session 3:**

Panel E **Remembering the ‘Troubles’**

Chair: **Natividad Carpintero-Santamaría**
(Polytechnic University of Madrid, Spain)

Participants: **Kris Brown** (University of Ulster, Northern
Ireland)
‘Ancestry of Resistance’: The Political Use of
Commemoration by Ulster Loyalists and Irish
Republicans in a Post Conflict Setting

Kirk Simpson
(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)
Untold Stories: Unionist Remembrance of
Political Violence and Suffering in Northern
Ireland

Martin Russell
(University College Dublin, Ireland)
Redefining Collective Aggression: Memory and
the Role of Irish America in the Northern Ireland
Peace Process

Panel F **Peacemaking, Reconciliation and Coming to
Terms with the Past**

Chair: **Malgorzata Kossowska**
(Jagiellonian University, Poland)

- Participants: **Joshua Hill and Daniel Mabrey** (Sam Houston State University, USA)
 Terrorist De-radicalization as Peacemaking:
 A Theoretical Evaluation of Terrorist
 De-radicalization in Southeast Asia
- Eugene McNamee**
 (University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)
 The Politics of Reconciliation for Rwanda and
 the ICTR
- Aisling Swaine**
 (University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)
 The Public and Private Experience of Violence
 and Women’s Exclusion in Post-conflict
 Timor-Leste
- 15:30 – 16:00** **Tea and Coffee**
- 16:00 – 17:00** **Keynote Speech:**
- Chair: **J. Martín Ramírez** (CICA and Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain)
- Speaker: **Saideh Lotfian** (Chair of Pugwash Council and University of Tehran, Iran)
 Poppies and Terrorism: In Search of Effective
 Policies for Conflict De-escalation and
 Resolution in Afghanistan
- 17:00 – 17:15** **Break**

17:15 – 18:45

Parallel Panel Session 4:

Panel G

Interface Areas in Northern Ireland

Chair:

John Topping

(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

Participants:

Jonny Byrne

(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

Should the Barriers Come Down?

Bréainn Brunton

(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

Interface Areas in Belfast: Community
Empowerment as a Method of Moving Away
from Violence and Disorder

Paul Reilly (University of Glasgow, Scotland)

Facebook: Facing Back or Facing Forward?

Northern Irish Interface Groups and Web 2.0

Panel H

**Representations of Political Violence and
Collective Aggression**

Chair:

Adam Brown (STR and Weill Medical College/
Cornell University, USA)

Participants:

Steven John Thompson (Clemson University,
USA)

Masking Visual Persistence in Media Warfare:
Digitality, Icon Value, and Iconic Storage

Brenda Liddy (Northern Regional College,
Northern Ireland)

The Gendered Nature of Collective Aggression
in Female-authored Drama of ‘Troubles’ Inspired
Drama

Stephen Hopkins (University of Leicester,
England)
Political Memoir, Biography and the Memory
of Loyalist Paramilitary Violence in Northern
Ireland

19:00 – 20:00

Wine Reception Sponsored by SPRI

20:00

Dinner

FRIDAY**4 September 2009****8:30 – 9:30****Breakfast** (for those staying on campus)**09:30 – 11:00****Parallel Panel Session 5:****Panel I****Terrorist and Political Violence in Europe – Old and New**

Chair:

Daniel Antonius (STR and New York University School of Medicine, USA)

Participants:

Anita Blagojević (University of J.J. Strossmayer in Osijek, Croatia)
The Independent State of Croatia (1941-1945) and Terrorism: Croatian Ustaše**Anna Maria Grabowska and Mateusz Trawiński** (Nicolas Copernicus University, Poland)

Shadows of Communism: Legal and Illegal, Naked and Symbolic – Types of Violence used by Military Intelligence Services in Poland

Asta Maskaliunaite (Baltic Defence College, Estonia)

Role of Discourse in the Perpetuation of Political Violence: A Case of Spanish Basque Country

Ali Hedayet (IMT Lucca, Italy)

Mobilizing Activism: A Comparative Analysis of the Contemporary Right-Wing Extremists and Islamists in Germany

Panel J**Not Quite Peace in Northern Ireland**

Chair:

Kareena McAloney

(Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland)

Participants:

Sara McDowell

(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

Waging War Through Non-violent Means:

Memorials and the Perpetuation of Division in
Ethnic-conflict**Lyndsey Harris**

(Birmingham City University, England)

Strategic Terrorism and Signalling: Implications
of a Strategic Analysis of Loyalist Paramilitaries
in Northern Ireland**John Topping and Rachel Monaghan**

(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

They Still Haven't Gone Away You Know:
Paramilitaries, 'Policing' and the PSNI**Gordon Gillespie** (Queen's University Belfast,
Northern Ireland)

Flagging Peace

11:00 – 11:30**Tea and Coffee****11:30 – 12:30****Keynote Speech:**

Chair:

Tali K. Walters (STR and Tuft University
Medical School, USA)

Speaker:

Rona M. Fields (Consultant and Clinical
Psychologist, USA)Terrorized into Terrorist: The Psychology,
Theology and Politics of Violence

12:30 – 13:00

Poster Session:

Javier Martín-Peña, Álvaro Rodríguez-Carballeira, Jordi Escartín and Clara Porrúa (University of Barcelona, Spain)
Psychological Terrorism in the Basque Country: A Psychosocial Analysis of its Strategies and Effects

Kareena McAloney, Patrick McCrystal and Andrew Percy (Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland)
Violent Youth: The Influence of Community Violence, Parental Supervision and Neighbourhood Disorganisation on Juvenile Violent Offending

13:00 – 14:00

Lunch

14:00 – 15:30

Parallel Panel Session 6:

Panel K

Understanding the Causes of Terrorism: Does it Work?

Chair:

Julian Richards (Buckinghamshire University, England)

Participants:

Amanda M Sharp Parker
(University of South Florida, USA)
An Integrated Criminological Strain Approach to the Causation of Terrorism

Charles Knight (Queensland University of Technology, Australia)
What Works best for the Terrorist: Terror-ism, or Anger-ism?

- Participants:** **Seidu Alidu** (Leeds Metropolitan University, England)
 Ayeray Medina Bustos (Leeds Metropolitan University, England)
 Gavin J. Fairbairn (Leeds Metropolitan University, England)
 Dave Webb (Leeds Metropolitan University, England)
- 18:30** **Bus leaves campus for conference dinner in Belfast**
- 19:00 – 20:00** **Wine Reception celebrating launch of STR’s journal *Behavioral Research in Terrorism and Political Aggression*. Sponsored by Taylor and Francis**
- 20:00** **Dinner**

SATURDAY

5 September 2009

8:30 – 9:30

Breakfast (for those staying on campus)

09:30 – 11:00

Parallel Panel Session 7:

Panel M

Explaining Political Violence and Collective Aggression from a Psychological and Behavioural Sciences Perspective

Chair:

Rona M. Fields (Consultant and Clinical Psychologist, USA)

Participants:

Sheila Pfafflin (Consultant, USA)
Women and War: Leadership and Outcomes

Kinga Williams (Mensana Intercultural Psychological Consultancy, England)
Complex or Dangerous

Panel N

Conflict, Violence and the Role of Government/other Actors

Chair:

Rachel Monaghan (University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

Participants:

Tomohiro Kumagai (Tohoku University, Japan)
Does Fair Government Engender Inter-national Conflict? The Ironic Relationship between Intra-national Justice and Inter-national Justice

Roger MacGinty

(University of St Andrews, Scotland)

Lebanon: Post-war Reconstruction as Conflict by Other Means

11:00 – 11:30

Tea and Coffee

11:30 – 12:30

Progress Report:

Art Kendall (Capital Area Social Psychological Association, USA)
Scientists and Human Rights: Joining Together to Stop Political Violence, Injustice, and Deprivation

12:30 – 13: 30

Closing Ceremony

Tali K. Walters (STR and Tuft University Medical School, USA)

Rachel Monaghan

(University of Ulster, Northern Ireland)

J. Martín Ramírez (CICA and Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain)

ABSTRACTS

(in chronological order)

- **KEYNOTE SPEECH:**

Policing in Northern Ireland: Enabling the Future through the Past

Dame Nuala O'Loan

Ireland's Special Envoy for Timor Leste and for UNSCR 1325, Women, Peace and Security

Years of political violence and collective aggression, at its most extreme involving widespread murder, bombings and shootings in Northern Ireland have demonstrated that the way in which policing is conducted in these circumstances is enormously important. Ordinary policing has to continue side by side with the policing of the extraordinary. In so doing, the contribution that the people can make to policing should never be ignored. Police officers may become collusive with the perpetrators of violence. They may become involved in that violence in all its forms. Perpetrators need community support. They need places to store things, eyes to watch what is going on, safe houses, and many other things (and people very often do these things for them because they know that if they do not help they will be attacked and even murdered.) There will be those within the community who can alert the constitutional forces to what is going on. It may be dangerous for them to do so. However they may wish to help. Where communities, for whatever reason, harbour those intent on violence of this nature, those communities will become dangerous places for the police. The challenge in Northern Ireland was to face the legacy left by The Troubles and to rebuild policing so that it became capable of functioning with the consent of [almost] all the people of Northern Ireland, with visible and effective accountability mechanisms. The process of doing that led to unexpected and fundamental challenges to all. This keynote address will explore some of that reality.

• **Symposium I: ‘Defining the Crime Of Aggression’:
The Relationship Between State Crime
and Individual Responsibility**

Convenors: *Chris Lamont* and *Gabriele Porretto* (Transitional Justice Institute, University of Ulster)

Participants: *Jean Allain* (commentator)
William Henderson
Chris Lamont
Yassin A M’Boge
Gabriele Porretto

This symposium aims to explore some of the relevant legal and political issues raised by the definition and the prosecution of the crime of aggression, both as a state crime and as an individual crime under international law. The panel brings together scholars working in the field of international relations, international law and international criminal law.

Law, Power and Aggression in International Society: The United Nations Security Council and the International Criminal Court

Chris Lamont

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

This presentation is meant to set the scene for the discussion in this symposium. Attempts at establishing the relationship between the International Criminal Court (ICC) and the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) have provoked a growing debate as to the Security Council’s legislative, and potential judicial, role in international society. This paper will focus on the specific question of the identification and punishment of aggression in international society. The UNSC has the authority to both identify and punish acts of aggression, among other serious breaches of the UN Charter. As the Rome Statute grants the ICC jurisdiction over the crime of aggression, the UNSC and the ICC will potentially share overlapping responsibilities once a consensus over definitional aspects of the crime of aggression have been achieved. This has raised important questions over proposals to limit the ICC’s jurisdiction over the crime of

aggression in order to preserve the UNSC's autonomy to act in response to international crisis. This paper will examine these proposals and their theoretical implications in the context of the evolving judicial architecture of international security.

Rome to Kampala: The International Criminal Court and the Crime of Aggression

William Henderson

Glasgow Caledonian University (Scotland)

This paper primarily analyses the development of the definition of the crime of aggression within the framework of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and also through the subject specific negotiations in the Special Working Group on the Crime of Aggression. In addition to these recent negotiations the paper aims to cover work on the crime of aggression leading up to the United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court and at the 1998 Rome Conference itself. The paper seeks to chart the progress made by the international community in advance of the ICC Review Conference, due to take place next year in Kampala, Uganda. The relationship of the crime of aggression to the act of state aggression is covered, particularly with regard to the place given to the wording of United Nations General Assembly Resolution 3314 (XXIX), 14 December 1974 (Definition of Aggression), in the proposed amendment to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal.

Operationalising the Crime of Aggression

Yassin A. M'Boge

Queen's University Belfast (Northern Ireland)

The process of institutionalising the crime of aggression demonstrates the interdependence between peace, security and justice. In 1998 the Rome Statute provides a future blueprint for an institutional response that could see the International Criminal Court investigating and prosecuting the crime of aggression. Yet the bigger question must be asked as to what the prospective role of the International Criminal Court can be in the prevention and the prosecution of acts of aggression. The complexities

surrounding the crime of aggression are not limited to the substantive issues of law but include practical and operational obstacles.

It is the aim of this paper to explore how the practical investigation and prosecution of the crime of aggression by an international institution such as the Court could address some of the obstacles that potentially lay ahead. In light of the fact that the crime of aggression has a particular political component some obstacles to investigations and prosecutions will be unique to this specific crime compared with genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes. Thus for the judicial operations of the International Criminal Court to have any chance of success the practical and operational side of the crime of aggression cannot and must not be overlooked.

Aggression as a ‘Leadership Crime’

Gabriele Porretto

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

This presentation aims to complement the elements discussed by other panellists and to concentrate, most notably, on the relationships between (a) individual acts leading to aggression and (b) state criminality, under the angle of the so-called elements of crime. In order to explore this relationship, I will first critically assess the idea according to which an act of aggression is necessarily a ‘leadership crime’, because it cannot be perpetrated by low-level political or military officials. I will more generally consider the question of the nature and degree of causation required by the crime of aggression, and most notably its ramifications in terms of complicity and collective responsibility in the planning and the execution of aggression.

- **Session A: Words Matter When Talking About Terrorism**

Why There is No Such Thing as Terrorism: Problems of Definition

Dominic Bryan

Queen's University Belfast (Northern Ireland)

In this paper I argue that the term 'terrorism' should not be used as an objective category in research and that potentially the use of this term makes conflict management and transformation more difficult. Attempts by numerous academics to define terrorism end in failure because of the diverse nature of the forms of violence they wish to place in the category. Definitions try to incorporate State and non-State actors whereas most of the research concentrates on the non-state actors. And academic work on the nature of 'terrorism' is further polluted by legal definitions constructed by State actors. The term is used in too many emotive contexts and is too politically loaded to be useful in any objective form. Academic research needs to concentrate, using labelling theory, on how the term is used, who labels who, and when. Only in this way can the academy offer assistance to political processes of conflict transformation.

Using the Language of Terror to Identify Motivations: A Content Analysis of Islamic Terrorists and Irish Republican Army Messages

Harrison Weinstein, Darvis Frazer and Bruce Bongar

Palo Alto University (USA)

Terrorism has occurred throughout history and is carried out in numerous fashions. Two groups that have received considerable coverage are Al-Qaeda and other Islamic groups and the Irish Republican Army (IRA). These organizations have both been labelled as terrorist groups researchers have attempted to make claims that group their actions. Some common hypothesized characteristics between Islamic and IRA terrorists include political and religious motivation, the homicidal nature of their attacks, and lack of evident psychopathology. Content analysis was used to decode messages from these two groups. These pieces of evidence were examined by the Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count program (Pennebaker et al., 2001). This analysis includes self references, social words, positive and

negative emotions, cognitive words, as well as a number of other dimensions. Breaking down the sources linguistically allows the statements to be compared and contrasted both within and between groups. Other studies have focused on behaviors and demographics to categorize these organizations, but this data provides unique insight into the motivations and final thoughts of various forms of terrorism. LIWC analysis revealed differences among several variables including emotions, religion, personal and social concern, and use of tense. Research evaluating the various manifestations of terrorism and the underlying mechanisms of these divergent acts serves to enhance our understanding of this occurrence. In turn, this information potentially assists in the prevention of future attacks, both Islamic and IRA based. In sum, research that aims to comprehend both commonalities and differences between various terrorist groups is a worthy goal that will serve to add to the knowledge base of this significant phenomenon.

Suicide Terrorism: Words Can Matter

Dominik Güss

University of North Florida (USA)

In scientific literature, the terms suicide bomber, suicide terrorist, Islamic martyr, martyr, or volunteer on a suicide mission are often used interchangeably, although definitions of these terms vary considerably (Güss, Tuason, and Teixeira, 2007). This is the first study to investigate the mental concepts related to these different terms when presented independently and when embedded in context. Participants were 129 undergraduate students who were asked to freely associate on six presented words, one of them being one of the five keywords mentioned above. Then, participants were presented two scenarios on suicide terrorism in a counterbalanced order. The same keyword from the association task was used in the two scenarios to describe the actor. The scenarios differed mainly in victims: in one scenario, innocent children and civilians died, in the other scenario, defense ministry workers and army officers died as a consequence of the suicide act. After each scenario, the participants were asked to answer Likert scale 5 questions regarding rationality and selfishness of the actor and the possible motivations of the actor, in one open ended question: Why do you think the person did this? and several

demographic questions. Results showed (1) significant differences in positive and negative valence of associations and kind of associations when terms were presented individually, (2) no significant effect of target words on rating question judgments when presented in context, (3) effects of scenario context on judgments regarding actor and action, and (4) the tendency of participants to more frequently mention scientifically supported causes of suicide attacks than media reported causes to the open question. Although context information seems to outweigh the connotations of the individual terms, results caution researchers and media on the need to reflect on the use of those terms and its possible consequences.

- **Session B: Identifying Triggers for Terrorism and Political Violence**

Ballots and Bombs? Terrorist Activity during National Electoral Campaigns

Kathleen Smarick and Richard L. Legault

National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism (START) (USA)

High-profile incidents such as the 2004 Madrid commuter-train bombings, three days before national elections in Spain, have fuelled a conventional wisdom that the chances of terrorist activity increase as national elections approach in a given country. There exists a sound theoretical basis for this expectation: Terrorists are political actors, and campaign seasons are key times to try to impact politics and policy. While terrorists might be marginalized from the electoral process, they could choose to concentrate their activities during electoral seasons in an effort to impact the campaigns and the subsequent elections. This paper serves to provide an empirical assessment of this conventional wisdom by analyzing the relationship between legitimate political activity—namely, national elections—and illegitimate political activity—namely, terrorist activity. The analysis will employ data on elections in 134 countries around the world from 1998 to 2004, as collected by Election Guide, a program of the International Foundation for Electoral Systems. Using these data in conjunction with data on terrorist incidents from the Global Terrorism Database (GTD), the authors use Cox Proportional Hazard models to test the hypothesis that the

risk of terrorist activity increases in the build up to a national election. The models will control for such country-level factors as level of democracy, involvement in a violent conflict, and level of economic development, as well as specific elements of electoral processes (including whether a country employs a parliamentary or presidential system of governance), towards the goal of generating insights about the conditions under which increased levels of terrorist activity are most likely.

Violent Globalism – Conflict in Response to Empire

Cornelia Beyer

University of Hull (England)

Terrorism can be understood as a violent, politically-motivated action against a societal order, mounted from within it, to bring about change or to attack and even overthrow the ruling elite. So the term ‘terrorism’ itself refers to a presumed hierarchical structure with at its head a widely-acknowledged elite. This interpretation of ‘new terrorism’ in particular contains the notion of Western imperialism (according to Galtung), with conflict linked to the experience of structural and material violence. Western imperialism is expressed in at least some of Galtung’s five dimensions to be found in the relations with the Middle East, the main breeding ground of the ‘new terrorism’. The opposition to this imperialism, hence ‘new’ or international terrorism however, is not confined to a state or states, but must be described as a global, transnational phenomenon. Hence we can speak of globalisms of violence in the present-day world. United States foreign policies, and imperialism, and ‘new terrorism’ furthermore constitute each other, they react to each other, cause and effect are not easily discernable.

Thomas Hobbes and the Containment of Aggression

Lorenzo Gabutti

RAI Italian Radio and Television (Italy)

According to Hobbes, in the state of nature each person has a natural right to every other person’s being and body. This means that aggression is rife, and no-one can be secure in their being, let alone their possessions. Hobbes’s primary concern is to ensure the enjoyment of the former, *i.e.*

personal survival, at any cost. That is why natural law enjoins to seek the peace, in order to exit this feral state: but, as opposed to Locke, Hobbes, in his deep pessimism, is not primarily concerned with ensuring the enjoyment of personal property. There are two main problems which derive from Hobbes's view concerning the containment of aggression. The first is normative: in order to ensure survival, Hobbes is prepared to countenance that a subject may exercise violence on another subject if authority requires him to. Besides being morally objectionable, it is hard to see how this view may lead to Hobbes's main aim, the prevention of civil war – unless, of course, one has the good fortune of being ruled by an enlightened sovereign. The second is psychological: it is that, paradoxically for a pessimist, Hobbes places an inordinate amount of trust in the power to attain compliance on the part of the signatories of the compact. He does not put in place a mechanism to persuade people to comply, other than Leviathan, the overarching power of the State. And yet, when the power of the State is found to be wanting, he has no means, given his philosophical egoism, of justifying respect of the law, other than an irrational belief that one is bound by the promise of obedience. Ultimately, he cannot explain this obligation from a moral point of view, precisely because he has excluded to start with, that human beings may act from motivations other than self-interest.

- **Session C: Some Thoughts on the Islamic Terrorist Threat In Europe**

Impact of the Way People Perceived Terrorists on the Fear of Future Terrorist Attacks

Malgorzata Kossowska

Jagiellonian University (Poland)

Agnieszka Golec de Zavala

Middlesex University (England)

Thomas Kubik

Jagiellonian University (Poland)

Three studies examine how Al Qaeda terrorists are perceived by Polish participants and how these perceptions are related to emotional reactions to terrorism and support for counter-terrorism policy: installation of the

American National Missile Defence (NMD) system in Poland. In study 1 we combine qualitative and quantitative approaches to test the terrorist perception and identify four images of Al Qaeda terrorists: psychopathic criminals, strategists, ideologues and victims of the system. The results of study 2 indicate that two images attributing irrationality to Al Qaeda terrorists and unpredictability to their actions (psychopathic criminals and ideologues) are related to high perceived risk of future terrorist attacks, fear of terrorism and a tendency to catastrophize terrorism. Results of study 3 show that these two images are related to opposition towards NMD in Poland. Fear of terrorism mediates this relationship. The image of terrorists as rational strategists is not related to fear of terrorism and is related to positive attitudes towards installation of the NMD in Poland.

Political Subversion or Religious Violence: The Threat of Al-Qaeda Ideology in Europe

Felipe Duarte

Portuguese Catholic University (Portugal)

Context: The end of the Cold War left us an ideological and geostrategic vacuum, conducive to the increasing of religious ideologies, which use violence in pursuit of their political demands. In retrospect, it is easy to find the motive of the most violent terrorist attacks, since the end of the Cold War, in an ideological matrix that has a theoretical structure based in a fundamentalist interpretation of Islam.

Argument: The fundamental issue of this paper is to conceptualize and analyse if there is a relationship between the rise of religious fundamentalism and political violence in contemporary international relations. Therefore, the main hypothesis will have as a mainstream religion, as a political weapon, and the use terror, by force and violence, to overthrow and subvert constituted governments and achieve ideological dominance. A secondary hypothesis lies in the link between the Sunni salafist and jihadist doctrine and the post Cold War “religious” terrorist networks. However, what kind of link: Political? Purely religious? We will try to identify Al-Qaeda ideology as a very dangerous threat (in the long term future).

All the research will have, as a background, the global resurgence of

religion as a political and strategic actor in International Relations, as a result of apparent failure of other ideologies. This paper aims to found an analytical framework about the influence of religious fundamentalism in political violence and terrorism, in a post-Cold War era.

European Converts to Islam: An Evolving Threat?

Emmanuel Karagiannis

University of Macedonia (Greece)

As a relatively new phenomenon, European conversion to Islam is challenging to assess. There is already evidence that Islamic terrorist networks are trying systematically to recruit Caucasian men and women to handle terrorist logistics, because they would be less likely to raise suspicion. Yet, most studies of this phenomenon fail to address its root causes of their radicalization. The security implications of conversions to Islam in Europe have helped ensure that the topic has quickly become a thriving area of research. Not surprisingly, European security services have grown especially concerned about this phenomenon. It is rare, however, that the insights of intelligence analysts are published. The result is that a considerable amount of knowledge has been confined partly or wholly to the realm of closed intelligence analysis, with negative consequences for the scholarly and policy work being done on Muslim converts and their radicalisation.

The paper will rely on primary sources; it aims at providing new research to understand the radicalization of Muslim converts in European countries such as Great Britain, France and Greece. It will seek to deal with the following research concerns and issues: What are the salient social and personal characteristics of Muslim converts, e.g. age, gender, educational profile, family attachment profile, etc. What are the mechanisms of their radicalization at individual and group levels? How do radical, but peaceful, converts differ from other converts who join terrorist groups? How do converts view jihad? European converts to Islam present a particularly difficult challenge to U.S. and European policymakers, since they may join radical Islamic groups and participate in terrorist plots. Western governments must carefully choose strategies and policies for dealing with European converts, if they are to avoid a security threat to regional stability.

• **Session D: State Attempts To Counter-Terrorism**

Moral Panics and the Strategy of Exclusion 1974

Jo Doody

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

This paper proposes that the political violence experienced in Great Britain in 1974 was defined primarily as a threat to the hegemonic status of the state. The threat posed to national security questioned the existing social arrangements in place. By defining the threat as a hegemonic crisis, the inevitable solution could only be an increase in social control and in this case was introduced through the strategy of exclusion. Faced with a lack of consent and legitimacy for its political-economic strategies in Northern Ireland, the Government in 1974 set about manufacturing the consent it needed through the politics of fear and repression and the Prevention of Terrorism Act.

This paper will explain why, given the initial definition and identification of a hegemonic crisis, no other outcome was deemed possible. It will then piece together the process by which exclusion came about. It will complicate the notion of exclusion with concepts of legitimization and rationalization. Drawing on labelling theory and the concept of moral panic in particular, it will piece together how the panic was constructed and how the diminution of civil rights was legitimated. The ‘othering’ of the Irish population will be described before exploring the institutionalization of this process. The political, individual and long-term impact of the strategy will also be explored with a view to raising questions for the current approach to the war on terror.

RUC GC – Demons or Altruistic Mortals?

Gavin Boyd

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

To sustain politically motivated conflict groups that favour physical force as the means to bring about political change. The groups must maintain and develop a level of support amongst the community they claim to represent. Such support could include storing weapons, hiding activists, or refusing to provide information to the security forces. The physical

force groups may describe their activities as a struggle for liberation or a righting of an injustice to justify their actions. But what is the legacy of these justifications in a post conflict situation? If not properly managed the physical force group may be successful in creating a strong myth that can become an established ‘fact’. There is a danger that others will unquestioningly accept this established ‘fact’ and take it as their starting point for future activity.

Since 1998 some myths have persisted and are being reused by dissident republicans to justify the continuance of violence in pursuit of a United Ireland. Furthermore, some reports from quasi-state agencies, such as the Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland, can un-intentionally offer such support through ignoring the context of policing ‘The Troubles’. Additionally, the dilemma of intelligence together with the mythmaker’s continuance of clinging to their myth some 10 years on in order to maintain cohesiveness of their movement compounds this process. This paper examines the context of policing ‘The Troubles’ and the intelligence dilemma to explore the role and influence of RUCGC Special Branch in combating terrorism in Northern Ireland.

The Future of Preventive Wars: The Case of Iraq

Onder Bakircioglu

Queen’s University Belfast (Northern Ireland)

Following the “terrorist” attacks of 11 September 2001, the 2002 US National Security Strategy made it clear that the United States would act, if necessary, unilaterally to protect its security against “emerging threats before they are fully formed.” In so doing President Bush’s administration has put forward a broader understanding of self-defence, one that diminishes the traditional role of the imminence requirement, making it relevant only to the establishment of necessity. The rationale underlying this new security strategy has been based on the assumption that modern warfare and recent innovations in weapons of mass destruction - which may readily be employed by “rogue States” and “terrorists” - have changed the whole calculus of self-defence. The reasoning would be that since warfare is now much more devastating and can occur with less warning, it is unrealistic to depend on the traditional imminence rule of self-defence;

namely to await the occurrence or the threat of an imminent “armed attack” before resorting to defensive force.

It has been more than five years since Saddam Hussein’s regime was toppled on account of its alleged ties with Al Qaeda and possession of Weapons of Mass Destruction (hereinafter WMD). Although these allegations were soon proved to be baseless, the occupation of Iraq continues within the context of “war on terror,” which so far neither provided more security nor uprooted “global terrorism.” In contrast, Bin Laden’s terrorism network is strongly rebuilding in Afghanistan and in the Pakistani tribal areas. It seems increasingly clear that the Bush administration’s seven years of anti-terror campaign will bequeath a legacy of two failed wars accompanied with numerous unresolved issues.

This paper will discuss whether or not the doctrine of preventive war, the so-called Bush Doctrine, has the potential to affect the United Nations’ (hereinafter the UN) collective security scheme by creating a customary precedence for other States to follow. It will analyse the legal arguments propounded to justify warfare against Iraq and discuss whether preventive war policies against non-tangible threats have a future within the UN collective security system. The paper will attempt to underline that a durable international society cannot rest on exceptionalism exercised by hegemonic powers; rather the interests of the weak nations must be met to sustain international peace and security.

- **Symposium II: ‘The Future is Critical: The Critical Turn in ‘Terrorism’ Studies’**

Convenors: *Marie Breen-Smyth* (University of Aberystwyth)

Participants: *Richard Jackson*
Charlotte Heath-Kelly
Marie Breen-Smyth
Ayla Göl

Recent scholarship on political violence and the use of terror’ has posited developments since 2001 as ‘new terrorism’, sidelining lessons drawn from

earlier experience of political violence dating back to the 1960s and 1970s and beyond. This panel presents four papers which jointly argue the need for a revisiting of the field, drawing lessons from past policy and practice, and re-examining the theoretical underpinnings of contemporary studies, both orthodox and critical. The panel includes papers which re-examine the terminology and ontological and epistemological underpinnings of a critical approach, the normative agenda of terrorism studies, and papers which draw lessons from specific cases, namely the Irish, British Muslims, and the Kurds in Turkey.

Critical Terrorism Studies: An Explanation, a Defence and a Way Forward

Richard Jackson

Aberystwyth University (Wales)

The aims of this paper are to introduce and explain the core commitments and dimensions of the critical terrorism studies (CTS) approach, to defend the retention of the term ‘terrorism’, and to briefly outline a future research agenda. To this end, the paper is divided into three sections. In the first section, I contextualise the rise of CTS and outline its central ontological, epistemological, methodological, and praxiological commitments, arguing that it is more than simply a call for better research on terrorism; rather, CTS offers a new way of understanding and researching terrorism. The second section focuses on the particular problem posed by the term ‘terrorism’ and argues that there are reasons for, and ways of, retaining the term without compromising the broader intellectual and/or emancipatory project. In addition, it explores some of the common misperceptions about the definition of terrorism and proposes a solution to these issues. In the final section, I outline some of the key challenges and dangers facing CTS. I suggest some key ways of avoiding these dangers and outline a future research agenda.

Imagining Futures within Critical Terrorism Studies

Charlotte Heath-Kelly

Aberystwyth University (Wales)

This paper problematises the use of Coxian and Frankfurt School Critical

Theory within Critical Terrorism Studies (CTS) to support an emancipatory project, while remaining positive about the renewed academic vigour that the CTS project has brought to the study of political violence within International Relations. Considering the explicitly normative emancipatory agenda of CTS, the paper addresses the origination of normativity within the Coxian and Horkheimerian critical projects, in which CTS is anchored, in relation to the naturalistic fallacy. Suggestions are then made concerning the possibility of rooting the CTS agenda in explicit moral and ideological territory, in order to generate enough normative force to sustain the emancipatory project - with Horkheimerian theory used to subsequently legitimate the endeavour within academia via his conception of minimal foundationalism and his sustained critique of instrumental reason. As such, the paper concludes that early Frankfurt School theorizing can validate normative academic projects, but cannot provide a source for such normativity.

Critical ‘Terrorism’ Studies and Counter-Terrorism: The Case of ‘Suspect Communities’

Marie Breen-Smyth

Aberystwyth University (Wales)

This paper begins by briefly setting out the conceptual framework upon which the recent critical turn in ‘terrorism’ studies is based. The sub-field of critical terrorism studies (CTS) (see Jackson, Breen-Smyth and Gunning, 2009 in press) derives in part from the Welsh School’s assertion of the individual, not the state, as the ultimate referent in accounts of ‘security’. The threat posed by terrorism is thus redefined in terms of its impact on the security of citizens rather than primarily on the security of the state. Furthermore, ‘terrorism’ is seen as a tactic that may be employed by both state and non-state actors and CTS challenges the notion of counter-terrorism, which often contributes to the proliferation of that which it sets out to counter, and argues for more effort to be devoted to finding non-coercive measures. The paper then illustrates the critical approach by revisiting Hillyard’s definition of a ‘suspect community’ as applied to the experience of the Irish community in the late twentieth century, by drawing parallels with the contemporary experience of Muslims in Britain. Using autoethnographical (and other) methods to chart the key feature of the

suspect community experience, the paper argues that suspect communities are existential communities, defined in and by the fearful public imagination. The paper explores the role of the suspect community as the embodiment of the imagined threat to the security of the state and its populace and a container for that threat, whilst it is the security of that 'suspect community' itself is eroded by its suspect status, through the securitising practices of the state. The paper concludes by pointing to the counter-productive nature of such state securitisation practices in terms of the 'battle for hearts and minds' and consequent exacerbation of security risks.

A Critical Re-Thinking of Political Violence and Ethnic Nationalism: The Case of the Kurds in Turkey

Ayla Göl

Aberystwyth University (Wales)

This paper explores the value of critical terrorism studies (CTS) in understanding the resurgence of political violence and ethno-nationalism in the Middle East, with a particular reference to the case of Kurds in Turkey. Based on the research agenda of the CST, the paper problematizes the shortcomings of orthodox 'terrorism' studies on three issues: first, an ahistorical approach to the understanding the Kurdish nationalism is necessarily incomplete, hence, the resurgence of the PKK 'terrorism' needs to be historicised and contextualised. The paper identifies the historical origins of the Kurdish issue in the regional context that constituted Kurds as a stateless nation of the Middle East. Second, it emphasises the importance of differentiating nationalist motivations from religious ones thus also challenging another misconception of understanding Islam and nationalism within an uncritical approach to 'terrorism'. Third, the paper engages with the local, national, regional and international levels of the Kurdish nationalism through the lens of social movement theory, considering socio-historical and political structures and the construction of identities. It examines why and how the rise of the PKK contributed to the resurgence of political violence that led to state repression of cultural rights and the counter-productive policies of the Turkish state security practices in the region. It concludes by questioning the significance of means other than the use of political violence in the search of a solution for the future of Kurds in the Middle East and Turkey.

- **Symposium III: Social-Psychological Predicators of Support for Political Violence: Social Identity, Group-Based Threat, and Efficacy**

Convenors: *Nicole Tausch and Rim Saab (Cardiff University)*

Participants: *Rim Saab*
Russell Spears
Nicole Tausch

Latent public support for political violence plays a vital role in the intractability of intergroup conflict (Hayes and McAllister, 2001). This symposium pulls together a panel of senior and junior scholars to examine psychological and structural processes that underlie support for political violence in a variety of contexts. First, using data from a representative sample of British Muslims, Tausch will examine the roles of religious and national identity as predictors of the extent to which the 7/7 London bombings were viewed as justified and discuss the importance of social context and intergroup contact as variables that determine the strength of identity. Second, Spears will explore how a sense of hopelessness and helplessness associated with the social position of one's group may promote support for and engagement in more radical and violent intergroup behaviour. Thirdly, Saab will examine the importance of the perceived efficacy of both violent and non-violent action strategies in predicting British respondents' support for the use of violent versus nonviolent means of resistance in the Israeli-Palestinian context. A discussion session led by the chairs will address the implications of the presented research and identify important directions for future research.

Religious and National Identity as Predicators of Support for Political Violence among British Muslims: An Analysis of UK Opinion Poll Data

Nicole Tausch and Russell Spears

Cardiff University (Wales)

Oliver Christ

University of Marburg (Germany)

Using data from a 2006 opinion survey of British Muslims ($N = 1000$), this study examined the role of importance of Muslim and British identity as proximal predictors of whether respondents viewed the 2005 London bombings as justified. We further explore the extent to which religious and national identity and support for terrorism are predicted by context (the concentration of Muslims in the area) and contact experiences with non-Muslims. The role of these variables is assessed over and above relevant demographic variables (gender, age, and SES). Structural equation modelling revealed that while there was no significant relationship between Muslim identity and support for the attacks, British identity was significantly negatively related to support. Having contact with non-Muslims was positively related to importance of British identity, negatively to importance of Muslim identity, and also directly predicted reduced support for the attacks. The concentration of Muslims in the area was positively related to the importance of Muslim identity and negatively to contact with non-Muslims. These findings are discussed in relation to recent efforts to integrate Muslims more into British society.

Socio-Structural Factors in Extremism and Terrorism

Russell Spears and Nicole Tausch

Cardiff University (Wales)

Much research and theorizing rightly focuses on the role of ideology and threats to identity as precursors and predictors of terrorism. However support for or engagement in such extreme behaviour is often also accompanied by a sense of hopelessness and helplessness associated with the social position of one's group, which contributes to the conviction that violence and terror is the only effective route. We examine the evidence, in lab as well as field, that conditions that promote a sense of helplessness, and

reduced voice, can increase support for more extreme and even violent courses of action. These include low power, low group efficacy, and low status for one's group, especially when these conditions are seen as stable and unchanging. These conditions promote support for and engagement in more radical, conflictual and violent behaviour, reflecting a strategy that we call "nothing to lose". This research challenges mainstream research on intergroup relations in social psychology, such as social identity theory (Tajfel and Turner, 1979) which predicts that attempts to resist disadvantaged status become most likely when a disadvantaged group position seems to be unstable and therefore changeable. We propose that the social psychology of terrorism may therefore require different theory and principles to the mainstream intergroup literature to explain the emergence of such extreme and violent acts.

Third-party Support for Violent Resistance against Israel

Rim Saab, Russell Spears and Nicole Tausch

Cardiff University (Wales)

Resistance against occupation and oppression can be violent, nonviolent or a combination of both. Engaging in any one type of resistance, however, typically requires the support of the larger population from which militants are recruited, as well as support by some third-party/bystander groups. It is therefore important to examine the social-psychological determinants of popular support for different types of resistance strategies. The present research explores variations in support for violent as well as nonviolent strategies in response to their perceived efficacy. In particular, we look at the interaction between the perceived efficacy of violent resistance and that of nonviolent resistance in predicting support for each strategy. Using both survey and experimental data we examine British respondents' support for the use of violent versus nonviolent means of resistance in the Israeli-Palestinian context. We test the assumption that support for violence rises in response to the perceived effectiveness of violence and the lack of effective non-violent alternatives. We also test the assumption that approval of extreme forms of violent resistance (e.g. attacks on civilians) rise in response to situations perceived as illegitimate and hopeless, that is, where neither violence nor nonviolence seem to work. The emotional mediators linking injustice and effectiveness perceptions to support for violent and nonviolent resistance strategies are also explored.

• **Panel E: Remembering the ‘Troubles’**

‘Ancestry of Resistance’: The Political Use of Commemoration by Ulster Loyalists and Irish Republicans in a Post Conflict Setting

Kris Brown

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

Tropes and themes of past political violence continue to circulate in Northern Ireland, and in doing so serve a contemporary political purpose. Both Loyalists and Republicans have engaged in the memorialisation of their dead in the course of the recent conflict. While memorialisation often represents personal mourning, the focus of this paper represents the use of remembrance in terms of Republicanism and Loyalism as political projects. This process of commemoration did not slow with the advent of the Northern Ireland peace process, but instead accelerated. Numerous wall plaques, commemorative murals, exhibitions and gardens of remembrance dedicated to Republicans and Loyalists, have mushroomed in the last decade, and the sheer number of memory sites is formidable. Commemoration is thus a most important public activity for Loyalist and Republican groups. These memory sites serve not simply as graphic reminders of Republican or Loyalist presence on the streets, but also act as focal points for ritual displays and parades. These ritual commemorative events are commonplace, and form a complex local calendar of socio-political activity, but remain relatively un-investigated, and receive only fitful attention in the mainstream local media.

The research paper will compare Ulster Loyalist and Irish Republican uses of commemoration, and examine how, in remembering their recent paramilitary dead and connecting these to past conflicts, they are engaging in a diligent demonstration of political continuity and historical legitimacy aimed at creating ‘master narratives’. In a post conflict setting, this has been of particular importance in reassuring doubters and deflecting political attacks. The paper will examine how Irish Republicans and Ulster Loyalists use memory work to assist the maintenance of social cohesion with the host community, to facilitate the ‘rededication’ and ‘reinvigoration’ of their political project, and counter-intuitively, to *transform* it as well. The paper will demonstrate that memory work by perceived ‘ultras’ can act as a steadying ballast, and not as a hindrance, in conflict transformation.

This research is based on extensive participant observation of Republican and Loyalist commemorative activity, studies of their memorial material culture, including museums and selected interviews with Republicans and Loyalists engaged in commemorative activity. The paper will be accompanied by power point slides showing the ritual activity and visual culture associated with these forms of memory work.

Untold Stories: Unionist Remembrance of Political Violence and Suffering in Northern Ireland

Kirk Simpson

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

One of the core socio-political and cultural aspects of unionist discourse in Northern Ireland is the need to foster and protect a sense of legitimate grievance in respect of the killings and injuries of innocent Protestant civilians by the Provisional Irish Republican Army during the conflict of 1969–1998. This paper uses illustrative examples to analyse the ways in which unionists narrate, remember, and attempt to politicise suffering in contemporary post-conflict Northern Ireland. Based on extensive and original ethnographic research, it illuminates the ways in which many unionists feel that the stories of those in their community who were assassinated or attacked by Irish republican paramilitaries have remained untold, silenced by their political opponents, and ignored by both their fellow British citizens and successive British administrations. Unless the issue of unionist victimhood is handled sensitively, this will present a significant impediment to the prospects for effectively dealing with the past in Northern Ireland.

Redefining Collective Aggression: Memory and the Role of Irish America in the Northern Ireland Peace Process

Martin Russell

University College Dublin (Ireland)

The interplay of political violence and collective aggression is dependent on a wide range of cultural processes. These vary from the symbolic act of violence to the reception of such acts in the public domain. This paper will argue that the mediation of political violence in Irish-America during the

Northern Ireland peace process represents a paradigm which illustrates a cultural transformation of the relationship between political violence and collective aggression. It will focus on the politics of memory as the foundational process in this transformation. The paper will be focused on defining and assessing the cultural processes within memory which facilitated such transformations. This will include an assessment of how the past is interpreted in order to facilitate future change. Such analysis will be based on key theoretical concerns such as rhetoric. It will examine how the evolution of strategic approaches to political violence in Northern Ireland enabled Irish America to redefine collective aggression into a diplomatic model. It is in this context that the paper will examine the shifting relationship between the individual and collective. It will underpin earlier analysis in a detailed assessment of how Irish-America became a collective entity, and subsequently operated in the Northern Ireland peace process. Given recent events in Northern Ireland and the rise of paramilitary activity, the presentation will offer a comparative context to the role of memory in the Northern Ireland peace process and the role of memory in the current climate. The paper will conclude with a commentary focusing on the lessons which can be learned from the cultural transformation of political violence and collective aggression due to the role of memory and Irish-America in the Northern Ireland peace process, and how these lessons have ultimately redefined collective aggression.

- **Panel F: Peacemaking, Reconciliation and Coming to Terms with the Past**

Terrorist De-Radicalization as Peacemaking: A Theoretical Evaluation of Terrorist De-Radicalization in Southeast Asia

Joshua Hill and Daniel Mabrey

Sam Houston State University (USA)

Approaches to stopping terrorism have ranged from direct military engagement to incorporating extremist groups into national politics. One of the more recent approaches however, has been the attempt to de-radicalize terrorists, specifically Islamic extremists, through discussion with respected clerics from their own tradition. While the approach has had qualified success, it still lacks a significant theoretical backing. This

paper examines terrorist de-radicalization through the lens of peacemaking criminology, arguing that de-radicalization can be enhanced through the explicit adoption of the peacemaking approach. It focuses on several terrorist de-radicalization programs currently underway in Southeast Asia. Application of the approach is considered in other contexts and ways forward are recommended.

The Politics of Reconciliation for Rwanda and the ICTR

Eugene McNamee

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

For most commentators on the Rwandan genocide, the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) process merits little more than passing comment. For most legal commentators the processes of the ICTR are looked at in isolation from the specific context of the genocide in Rwanda, the events being seen as already ‘captured’ by law because of the existence of the 1948 Genocide Convention. Yet the idea of ‘reconciliation’ which lies at the heart of the justification for the legal process is specifically political, and has a contemporary resonance now which didn’t exist in 1948. Had this notion of reconciliation following justice remained as simply an expression of hope, there would be little to argue with in prosecuting crimes with that sentiment. Reading many of the documents that relate to the Tribunal, however, it is striking how prominent is the notion of reconciliation, to the extent that the goal of reconciliation seems to be leading the process rather than (hopefully) following criminal justice.

This paper proceeds on the basis that to assess the value of the ICTR a certain degree of inter-disciplinarity between law, history and politics is necessary. One result of this is to allow for more specific attention to the particular features of the Rwandan genocide and for a critique of the processes of the ICTR on this basis. A second is to allow for critical attention to the development of international criminal law on the basis of the more general question of whether a ‘one size fits all’ approach is really the most productive approach for the future, or whether all such future legal processes will have to be tailored to the circumstances which give rise to them; in short that the future of international law lies in recognising its own political nature.

The Public and Private Experience of Violence and Women's Exclusion in Post-Conflict Timor-Leste

Aisling Swaine

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

Timor-Leste, a newly independent state, is grappling with the legacy of a complex and extended history of multiple episodes of political violence and occupation. Colonisation by the Portuguese took place in the 16th century, followed by a brief period of Japanese occupation during World War II. An unplanned and hasty withdrawal by the Portuguese in 1974 resulted in a period of intra-Timorese political conflict and a declaration of independence. Indonesia attacked and annexed the half-island in 1975 and that occupation was characterised by the torture and brutality of the Timorese population, and sparked a 25 year organised armed Timorese resistance. The withdrawal of Indonesian troops from Timor-Leste, following a referendum resulting in independence in 1999, involved a violent and protracted process. Indonesian tactics included a scorched earth campaign, the kidnapping of women for sexual abuse and slavery, mass killing and forced movement of communities and terrorisation of the displaced within camps in West Timor by Indonesia's proxy Timorese militia.

Throughout these phases of occupation and violence, Timorese women were targeted for specific abuses, particularly in 1999, while they also organised and played a central role in the success of the resistance movement. A deeply rooted patriarchal Timorese social-cultural system, combined with the imposition of international models of transition post-1999, resulted in the suppression of women's concerns within the agenda of the resistance movement, a lack of recognition of women's pivotal role during the occupations, and questionable attention to gender issues within the structures employed during transition to deal with the abuses of the past. More recently, the women's movement has focused on influencing and shaping a present and future that overcomes the legacies of the past. This paper will provide an overview of women's experience of both public and private forms of violence in the Timorese conflict and post-conflict context. It will then examine whether the transitional justice processes employed in Timor-Leste have adequately addressed women's

interests and supported women to play a role in constructing the future or whether the exclusion of women's concerns has continued and been perpetuated within the transition context.

- **KEYNOTE SPEECH**

Poppies and Terrorism: In Search of Effective Policies for Conflict De-escalation and Resolution in Afghanistan

Saideh Lotfian

University of Tehran (Iran)

The twin goals of the paper are to discuss the underlying causes of the protracted conflict in Afghanistan, and to recommend policies for its termination. The author raises four questions about this destabilizing conflict: What are the socio-economic factors influencing Afghan political violence? Could Taliban extremism be stopped? To what extent have the external actors contributed to the conflict in Afghanistan? How can we bring peace to this war-torn country? The main conclusion is that the failure to contain the spread of violence and terrorism in this region will have far-reaching security implications for the rest of the world. Sustainable peace in Afghanistan could be achieved by eliminating the economic causes of conflict and ensuring political justice.

- **Panel G: Interface Areas in Northern Ireland**

Should the Barriers Come Down?

Jonny Byrne

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

Physical barriers such as walls and fences have been employed by countries locally and internationally primarily as a response to communal violence and disorder, threats of terrorism, drug smuggling and immigration for thousands of years. Belfast will be the central focus of this paper, a city which has long been synonymous with walls and barricades as a direct consequence of the 'Troubles'. Originally, the barricades or Peacelines as they are more frequently referred to were first constructed in 1969 as a response to increased community and political violence. They have

multiplied in number over the years, with paradoxically a significant number being introduced after the paramilitary ceasefires and political agreements. The most recent was constructed in an integrated primary school in 2008. It seems the Peacelines have become part of the normal and accepted range of public policy and security interventions which can be considered as a response to communal violence and disorder in Northern Ireland

Although Northern Ireland has undergone significant social, economic and political changes in the last decade, the continued existence of the physical lines of demarcation illustrates the fact that segregation continues and relationships are defined by insecurity, threat and anxiety. Therefore, what if anything should become of the Peacelines? This paper will examine whether they serve to perpetuate and entrench cultural identities and traditions, and prevent the final resolution of the conflict, or whether they are an inevitable price of the conflict, mechanisms of security and safety that have become normalised within local environments and indirectly facilitate an acceptance of non-engagement and continued segregation. One must understand the context and role of the Peacelines now, so as to determine the processes required and techniques to employ if the conversation around their transformation, regeneration, and, dare I say it, ‘possible removal,’ is to begin.

Interface areas in Belfast: Community Empowerment as a Method of Moving Away from Violence and Disorder

Bréainn Brunton

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

The political boundaries that separate communities in Belfast appear in both physical and mental forms. These boundaries create interfaces where the edges of the two communities meet. The physical barriers are situated in the most violent areas for the protection of both sides (Catholic and Protestant) and are designed to quell ethno-sectarian attitudes and practices. However this is often not the case. Shirlow and Murtagh describe interfaces as, “...sites that become the most notable places of violence and resistance.” (2006: 58) These so called ‘peace lines’ are anything but peaceful, and the wall itself often becomes the spatial

representation of the ethnic-other, a culturally opposed immediate community. Intolerance, fear and mistrust fan the flames of ethno-sectarianism and this, fused with the ethos of masculinity, frames the marking of political boundaries in interface areas. This politicising of space feeds the fears held by communities and can lead to more segregated living spaces and, as neither side wants to be seen to concede any ground, aggressive and violent behaviour may be tolerated and even justified as 'defending our area'.

This study examines the role territoriality plays in maintaining segregation in Belfast and the many different factors that enable and encourage a territorial mindset in divided communities. Recent intra-community and inter-community initiatives in interface areas are examined, to identify where possible progress could be made in breaking down both physical and mental barriers that maintain the division and enable the violence and disorder to occur. The interface area of Suffolk and Lenadoon, in South West Belfast, has been used as a case study, with the Suffolk and Lenadoon Interface Group's (SLIG) role in regenerating an interface area both socially and economically discussed. The groups are supported and advised by an organisation outside of government, called Atlantic Philanthropies, which makes them and their situation unique. The group's cross community work, encompassing shared space, shared facilities and shared activities, is examined. The research explores the effectiveness of community empowerment in an interface community, through working under this less restrictive setting, as a process for breaking-down mechanisms of territoriality, and moving away from violence and disorder.

Facebook: Facing Back or Facing Forward? Northern Irish Interface Groups and Web 2.0

Paul Reilly

University of Glasgow (Scotland)

Cyber enthusiasts as far back as Giddens (1995) have suggested that information and communication technologies (ICTs) might facilitate a positive spiral of communication between rival ethnic communities, thus ameliorating social conflict. Authors such as Dahlgren (2000) and Wellman (2004) assert that Internet forms of communication may create arenas for

public debate that are not present in the real world. However, a more sceptical view of the dialogic potential of the Internet is provided by Chadwick (2006), who asserts that the Internet is both ‘increasing and decreasing social capital and opportunities for political participation’ (p.112). Recent ICT developments, collectively dubbed Web 2.0, have aroused renewed interest in this suggestion in the light of their emphasis on user-generated content. O’Reilly (2005) characterises Web 2.0 as a Habermasian public sphere in which ‘bottom-up’ communication is facilitated by both blogs and social networking websites. Drezner and Farrell (2004) argue that the network structure of the blogosphere allows interesting ideas to ‘bubble up’ to focal point blogs. Conversely, authors such as Froomkin (2003) and Sunstein (2007) suggest that blogs may accelerate existing trends of cyberbalkanisation, with bloggers likely to read the opinions of like-minded activists and little else. The paper presents an analysis of both the framing and functionality of Web 2.0 pages dedicated to interface communities in Northern Ireland. It will analyse whether young people, who live in close proximity to sectarian interfaces, are using Web 2.0 to inform both their political decision-making and their opinions of the ‘other’ community. The study will also determine whether the ‘competition of victimhood’ visible on the websites of residents’ groups is replicated on Web 2.0 (See Reilly 2008). The study will analyse how interface conflict is represented on the social networking profiles of people who live in close proximity to sectarian interfaces, and those of their supporters. In doing so, this paper represents the first empirical research into how Web 2.0 shapes politics during a period of conflict transformation.

- **Panel H: Representations of Political Violence
 and Collective Aggression**

**Masking Visual Persistence in Media Warfare: Digitality, Icon Value
and Iconic Storage**

Steven John Thompson

Clemson University (USA)

While subliminal messaging is most consciously eschewed in the advertising industry as an unethical manipulation, the process has always been an integral covert operation of warfare. Through the speed

of instantaneity and exponential strength, digital media messages are an ideal communication medium for masking during times of war. Ethical considerations regarding appropriateness of masking global media messages are daring, yet it is reality that every terrorist message is designed to impact the cognitive receiver, as a weapon raises the bar for calculated alternative response, deliberately designing a method for abatement of audience impact.

Inherent rhetorical ambiguities of mediated singular terrorist constructs are multi-faceted: there is the message for sympathizers, the message for the terrified, and the overall global message of the event as terrorism. Since these are destructive devices which render a terrorist message a media event -- and the moment is ubiquitously carried across digital channels at the speed of light, mechanically reproduced without exhaustion, and ultimately stored in collective memory -- iconic memory may have a more advanced role in this process than expected.

While Sperling's initial experiment variables are problematic partly because of their unnatural laboratory environment (Haber, 1985), they lack an additional critical variable today: that of importance for icon value. Iconic memory moments or visual persistence -- especially when content is immediately perceived as having deep, revered meaning or may border on personally absorbed offense or injustice -- may somehow evolve iconic storage or trigger sensory mechanisms that allow for rapid identification and immediate transfer to permanent storage.

This research suggests a new value for the icon (Loftus, 1985) as digital, considers strategies for masks possibly terminating the icon (Smithson and Mollon, 2006), and discusses iconic storage phenomena. It proposes practical ethical logistics that global media may use to leverage psychosocial impact of terrorist messaging for intended audiences.

The Gendered Nature of Collective Aggression in Female-Authored Drama of "Troubles" Inspired Drama

Brenda Liddy

Northern Regional College (Northern Ireland)

Few modern wars have lasted as long as the tit for tat Troubles that plagued

Northern Ireland for over 30 years. This mayhem became immediate material for poetry: Frank Ormsby decried “door-step murders” as a “a way of life”; Ciaran Carson rejected the horror of being spread-eagled against a wall and cross questioned in the place he called “home”. The besieged population even welcomed the translation of their experiences into poetry. *The Wearing of the Black*, a volume about the Troubles by Padraic Fiacc, became a best seller in the early 1970s, a rare fate for poetry anywhere. The poets, however, did not find their gift, were not galvanised into writing, as a result of the violence. Mostly they had been writing before a shot was fired.

Rather it was drama that ushered in new voices that would probably otherwise have remained mute, that enticed untried and untested laity to have a go at re-enacting before an audience the weird world that had closed in all around them. Christina Reid, Marie Jones, Anne Devlin hit on bombings, bereavements, revenge, midnight house searches, as the stuff of theatre. They set about portraying on stage the endemic domestic and community repercussions that were the underside of the debacle. They highlighted the unsung role women played in bringing a semblance of normality to a highly abnormal situation.

The curious thing was that, while terrorist incidents took place in pockets all over the province, with epicenters in Derry, at first, and continuously in South Armagh, it was Belfast that attracted the imaginations of these new authors, who found prolific subject matter in its strife-torn loyalist and republican areas. Equally curious was the pre-eminence of women among the playwrights. It has been said that if all the volumes written about the Northern Ireland situation were placed side by side, they could reach right round the world. But most of these, even when written by journalists, were produced by men, just as the poets too tended to be male. Marie Jones, Anne Devlin and Christina Reid breached this male stranglehold, energetically honing into an area that the spotlight had ignored: the domestic angst, the ruined relationships resulting from the public fracas. Now they report, wryly and ironically, from the home front. They advocate peace. The big departure is that their agenda is emphatically feminist.

Political Memoir, Biography and the Memory of Loyalist Paramilitary Violence in Northern Ireland

Stephen Hopkins

University of Leicester (England)

This paper will analyse the political memoirs and auto/biographical reflections of erstwhile loyalist paramilitaries in Northern Ireland during the Troubles (1960s-1990s), in order to investigate their contemporary interpretations of the violent conflict, and to interrogate their motivations both for the use of violence, and the publication of their memories of such violence. The argument of this paper is predicated on the belief that Northern Ireland's perceived movement towards a 'post-conflict' phase has given fresh impetus to the long-established tradition of political auto/biography associated with the historical development of Anglo-Irish relations. Many protagonists or ex-protagonists of the 'Troubles' now feel the time is ripe to tell their 'stories' to a wider public, to explain their motivations, and to try and shape the debate over the rights and wrongs of the conflict.

There are a number of political and methodological issues involved in this recent spate of publication, and this paper seeks to link the specific study of Loyalist/Protestant auto/biographical writing, with broader themes concerning the debate over how to remember or commemorate various aspects of the conflict. The paper analyses Protestant Paramilitary reconstructions and representations of the conflict, as evinced through recent auto/biographical writings. The authors/subjects that would be examined include Roy Garland's biography of Gusty Spence (Blackstaff Press, 2001), Henry Sinnerton's biography of David Ervine (Brandon, 2002), Michael Stone's autobiography (John Blake, 2003), David Lister and Hugh Jordan's biography of Johnny Adair (Mainstream, 2003) and Adair's own autobiography (2007).

It is possible that (ex-)protagonists have used these publications to engage in self-critical reappraisal of previous commitments and actions, but perhaps it is more likely that writing in this genre and at this juncture is likely to involve a large measure of self-justification. The auto/biographical design may well, in this event, represent a proxy weapon in an ongoing ideological struggle. In interpreting political auto/biography in the Northern Irish

context, therefore, we need to be mindful of what Roy Foster has described as ‘the deliberate gap in the narrative: the momentous elision, the leap in the story.’ Auto/biographical writing may have a significant role to play in contemporary political discourse in Northern Ireland, by providing an opportunity for individual narratives to be told in their entirety, retaining their integrity. They may also provide a symbolic, collective and communal element to this process of ‘truth-telling’. However, as these examples of Loyalist/Protestant auto/biography demonstrate, the lacunae or gaps that often characterise these stories make this process complex and uncertain, especially where there is still no public consensus about the essential causes of conflict.

• **Panel I: Terrorism and Political Violence in
Europe – Old and New**

**The Independent State of Croatia (1947-1945) and Terrorism:
Croatian Ustaše**

Anita Blagojević

University of J.J. Strossmayer in Osijek (Croatia)

The way the Ustaše (often spelled Ustashe in English; singular: Ustaša), Croatian right-wing organisation based on secrecy and rigorous discipline, imagined the Croatian nation and the Croatian state has received little attention from research, perhaps because they centred just on the Ustaša’s attempt to establish, for the first time in modern history, an independent state, which is represented as the main Ustaša aim. The other principal Ustaša aim, however, was to simultaneously remove the ethnic, racial and religious minorities that the Ustaše considered a threat to the organic unity of the Croatian nation, including some Communist Croats. At the time of their founding in 1929, the Ustaše were a nationalist political organisation that committed terrorist acts. When they come to power in World War II, they had military formations (Ustaška vojnica/Ustaša Army) and they founded several concentration camps, the most notorious of which was the Jasenovac complex. The basis for the system of political terror, which included mass arrests, deportations and physical extermination of the Serbian, Jewish and Roma minorities, was the Legal provision for the defence of nation and state from 17 April 1941.

Shadows of Communism: Legal and Illegal, Naked and Symbolic – Types of Violence Used by Military Intelligence Services in Poland

Anna Maria Grabowska and Mateusz Trawiński

Nicolas Copernicus University (Poland)

In 2006 the Polish army intelligence - Military Information Services (hereafter WSI) - was liquidated. On February 17th, 2007 president Lech Kaczyński, by revealing the verification report, informed public opinion about actions taken by the WSI during its existence between 1991 and 2006. The classical Weberian definition of state says that it has a monopoly to use legal violence. Police, army and the secret service are institutions present in every modern state, both democratic or non-democratic, that has the right to perform it. WSI was not an exception here. The aim of our presentation will be to show the influence of illegal violence used by the WSI on Polish economy, politics and society. Based on the revealed report, interviews with the members of the Verification Commission, and WSI soldiers, we will manage to show that fact.

The WSI case is important because of at least three reasons: First, there are cases of using naked violence by the WSI agents that had a crucial impact on several spheres of social life. Cases such as infiltrating political opposition that took place in the 90s, illegal weapons selling, and connections of some officers with the Polish mafia, are those that should be investigated. Second, there are cases where WSI tried to make use of symbolic violence by placing their agents in media as journalists and other employees. The concept of symbolic violence is an important factor, as the media were very critical about the liquidation of WSI. This resulted in public opinion that had a sceptical view on the liquidation process. Third, the case of WSI shows not only the problems of the Polish secret service. Many cases show that the process of institutionalization or functionalization of pathologies in the field of secret service that took place in Poland is quite universal for all post-communist countries in Eastern Europe.

Role of Discourse in the Perpetuation of Political Violence: A Case of Spanish Basque Country

Asta Maskaliunaite

Baltic Defence College (Estonia)

Envisioning a violent conflict one tends to think about the “physical” aspects of the issue, focusing on the powerful images of destruction, lost lives, chaos and mayhem. However, what is often overlooked is that the language used to interpret such situations can play as important a role in perpetuation or ending the conflict, as these images themselves. The Spanish Basque Country is a good example here. Sides involved have completely different perceptions of what is at stake and a different language to express them. For the Basque political forces, for example, there is a political conflict resulting in violence. For the Spanish side, there is no conflict, but a problem, which is violence.

These different understandings have roots in the fundamental disagreements over the nature of the nation and the state. Rigid frames created around these concepts on both sides make a communication between them very difficult and position the discourse itself as one of the fundamental elements of a conflict. It is through discourse that the “cultural violence”, as described by Galtung, takes place. It is thus by analyzing the discourse and the frames that are used to justify the killing, by analyzing the resonance of these frames in some parts of the population, that the violent conflict and its future can well be assessed.

Mobilizing Activism: A Comparative Analysis of the Contemporary Right-Wing Extremists and Islamists in Germany

Ali Hedayet

IMT Lucca (Italy)

My paper will look at the meso-level and focus on two extremist groups, the Islamist movement and the Right Extremist movement in Germany. Separately, both have been extensively investigated, but a comparative work is hard to find. My paper will investigate which dynamics, mechanisms and mobilizing resources both movements possess when recruiting and radicalizing young members.

Their common enemy perceptions find their roots in the fascist ideologies of the Twentieth Century. In particular, these movements share hatred against a corrupt, pluralized, globalized and cosmopolitan society. They share a utopian future with the acceptance of or even enthusiasm for the caliphate or the dictatorship. Finally, a very important common feature is a strong anti-Semitism.

Some of the young militant activists are well-educated and come from a prosperous background. Many others come from an isolated socio-cultural environment. Especially among the latter, violence is regarded as a key to solidarity. During the recruitment process, religious or national identities are instruments which are used to shape a pattern of violence. This process usually takes place in Islamic Student centers or religious schools - "Madrasas" - for the Islamist movements and in Kameradschaften for the right extremist ones.

Disintegrative theories are helpful to explain the social interactions of German right extremists, but they describe less about dynamics, mechanisms and mobilizing resources characterizing both movements. One approach to the understanding of both movements is the resource mobilizing theory, "RMT". Its functional approach includes militant dynamics, mobilizing processes and the internal organization.

Methodologically, my analysis is based on a qualitative comparative research, involving documentary and discursive analyses to illustrate radicalization processes. When possible, I will rely on qualitative interviews. I will also employ secondary sources, existing literature and official documents from political and institutional authorities.

• **Panel J: Not Quite Peace in Northern Ireland**

Waging War through Non-Violent Means: Memorials and the Perpetuation of Division in Ethnic-Conflict

Sara McDowell

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

This paper considers the role that physical memorialisation plays in

perpetuating or exacerbating ethnic conflict. It explores the ways in which paramilitary groups or guerrilla organisations acting on (or professing to act on) behalf of minorities use non-violent spatial practices such as memorialisation to contest the territorial boundaries of the 'other' and renegotiate their own. Within ethnic conflict where territory is fiercely contested, memorialisation has the specific capacity to extend the parameters of conflict and division constituting a form of symbolic ideological warfare. As an expression of territoriality, memory-work orchestrated by such organisations is employed to foster internal cohesion and demarcate boundaries of inclusion and exclusion. While in itself memorialisation is a non-violent practice, it can clearly celebrate violence and underscore claims to its legitimacy in the pursuit of political goals. Drawing on examples from Northern Ireland which has recently emerged from three decades of conflict; Sri Lanka which has, of late, returned to violence following six years of a very volatile and tentative peace, and Israel-Palestine which has entered yet another round of peace negotiations, this paper works towards a more thorough understanding of the materiality of conflict and the centrality of memory to ethnic violence.

Strategic Terrorism and Signalling: Implications of a Strategic Analysis of Loyalist Paramilitaries in Northern Ireland

Lyndsey Harris

Birmingham City University (England)

Approaches to the understanding of Loyalist paramilitaries in Northern Ireland habitually originate from the sociological or psychological disciplines. Whilst these methodologies have made significant steps in addressing the limited material available on the military dimension of the Northern Ireland conflict, there is a need for a re-interpretation of Loyalist activity – one that employs a synthetic method. This paper will draw from empirical data gathered from the author's completed doctoral thesis entitled, 'A Strategic Analysis of Loyalist Paramilitaries in Northern Ireland,' and offer an assessment of the campaign of strategic terrorism by Loyalist Terrorist Organisations. Specifically, this paper will outline the importance of understanding the value systems of any terrorist organisation highlighting the implications for successful post-conflict strategies.

They Still Haven't Gone Away You Know: Paramilitaries, 'Policing' and the PSNI

John Topping and Rachel Monaghan

University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)

As an enduring legacy of the conflict, paramilitary policing remains a fact of Northern Ireland's post-conflict landscape. While much attention has been devoted to the causes and consequences of paramilitarism, virtually no consideration has been given to the influence of such non-state policing upon the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI). This paper will assess the impact of paramilitarism on the PSNI in terms of working with, and delivering a community-oriented service within Loyalist and Republican communities. Furthermore, the paper will explore some of the alternative modes of non-state security governance and the legitimating factors which perpetuate this parallel policing provision. Finally, it will be argued that as a unique feature of the conflict, the 'otherness' to security provision in the country, where legitimate and compliant with the rule of law, is an opportunity to be embraced in line with the Patten Report's vision of policing more broadly conceived.

Flagging Peace

Gordon Gillespie

Queen's University Belfast (Northern Ireland)

Northern Ireland has been subject to a peace process since the early 1990s culminating in the signing of the Multi-party Agreement in 1998. The agreement was an attempt, using a broadly consociational model, to manage the relationship between the Catholic/Nationalist Protestant/Unionist ethno-political communities. Throughout this process it has been recognized that the management of space, 'territory', is a key element of community relations. This paper explores the management of public space through symbols and rituals in a context where mutually exclusive claims previously predominated. The paper will concentrate specifically upon the use of flags too demarcate public space. It will utilize three years of survey research to explore how flags have been used to sustain elements of territorial control and the consequent responses by the state.

- **KEYNOTE SPEECH**

Terrorized into Terrorist:

The Psychology, Theology and Politics of Violence

Rona M. Fields

Consultant and Clinical Psychologist (USA)

Societies and the individuals that comprise them engage in violence--of which terrorism is one manifestation when segments of the population are marginalized and oppressed. Subsequently replacing extra-judiciary systems with the rule of law may put an end to or diminish terrorism per se. However, it does not treat and rehabilitate the individuals who are the political actors. There are many different paradigms and cultural manifestations of the unjust society that erupt into terrorism but in the end, without attending to the psychological and social inequities and treating the psychological, medical and social pathologies, sectarianism--the seedbed for terrorism-- spawns ever new variations of terrorism.

- **POSTER SESSION**

Psychological Terrorism in the Basque Country:

A Psychosocial Analysis of its Strategies and Effects

Javier Martin-Peña, Álvaro Rodríguez-Carballeira, Jordi Escartín and Clara Porrúa

University of Barcelona (Spain)

Keywords: Terrorism, political violence, harassment, psychological violence

The ETA network in Spain's Basque region is the last active terrorist insurgency group in Western Europe. From the strategy termed by ETA as *socialization of suffering*, approach to violence has changed over time in a variety of ways: group structure, types of violence, and target selection. This has resulted in violence grounded in the harassment of targets and lasting psychosocial consequences for them and for influence to the society. This kind of violence, reinforced with some murders can include threats, intimidation, insults, or extortion, among others. It does not always cause

injuries and death, but however it can inflict deep and lasting psychosocial consequences over the victims. This study delimits and analyzes both the strategies of harassment and psychological violence as the derived consequences perpetrated by ETA terrorist network in the Basque Country.

The obtained results, first, consist in two categorizations of the mentioned strategies and consequences of psychological violence, respectively. These categories include the psychosocial dimension on context, emotions, cognition and behavioural aspects. Secondly, a sample of testimonies was coded in the categorizations, using the content analysis technique. The psychological violence analyzed in this study reflects a form of psychological terrorism which persecutes a specific sector of the population. Resulting practical implications are focused on facilitation in order to make de-legitimizing the violence.

Violent Youth: The Influence of Community Violence, Parental Supervision and Neighbourhood Disorganisation on Juvenile Violent Offending

Kareena McAloney, Patrick McCrystal and Andrew Percy
Queen's University Belfast (Northern Ireland)

Violent crime is increasingly prevalent among young people, and has been linked to characteristics of both the physical and social environment. In this analysis of a the fifth year of the Belfast Youth Development Study (BYDS) the prevalence of violent offending is examined for a sample of 3828 young people (aged 15 – 16 years) and associations with exposure to community violence, parental supervision and neighbourhood on violent offending investigated. Over two fifths of all young people had committed at least one violent offence, and one fifth report violently offending three or more times. Violent offending was associated with exposure to community violence, neighbourhood deprivation and participation in unsupervised, unstructured leisure activities outside the family home. The findings suggest that both the physical and social environment experienced by young people may play a key role in the development of violent criminality.

- **Panel K: Understanding the Causes of Terrorism: Does it Work?**

An Integrated Criminological Strain Approach to the Causation of Terrorism

Amanda M. Sharp Parker

University of South Florida (USA)

Criminology has much to contribute to the study of terrorism, but few studies have applied criminological theory to examine the causation of terrorism. Furthermore, terrorism is an extremely complex problem that cannot be explained at simply one level of analysis. This paper will propose an integrated theory of terrorism examining terrorism at both the individual and societal levels. The suggested criminological theory will propose the integration of General Strain Theory (micro) with Institutional Anomie Theory (macro), to offer a more complete explanation of the terrorism phenomenon. Both strengths and weaknesses of the application of criminological theory and the integration of General Strain with Institutional Anomie will be examined.

What Works Best for the Terrorist: Terror-ism or Anger-ism?

Charles Knight

Queensland University of Technology (Australia)

How do terrorist actions translate to political results? What strategies appear to deliver intended effects, and in what circumstances? To what extent do societal responses inadvertently deliver benefits? What role does emotional reaction play? These are important questions if society is to respond appropriately to terrorism and similar attacks.

The term ‘terrorism’ infers a functional centrality of fear in such political violence. This is misleading. ‘Terror’ of violence may change the behaviour of a constituency who are themselves vulnerable as a physical target, as in the case of ethnic cleansing. However, fear has doubtful value in directly coercing a major decision from political leaders reasonably protected from attack. Without the power to militarily compel, inflicting ‘grievous injury’ is likely to generate *anger* and build resistance, as the

strategic bombing campaigns of WW2 show. The record suggests that ‘terrorist success’ in coercing political decisions is associated with pragmatic cost-benefit decisions in the longer term. ‘Costs’ in security resources and constraints on individual and community behaviour can lead to exhaustion that outweighs the need to punish the violent actors. It seems plausible that rather than ‘terror’ it is the induction of *anger* that is the politically productive emotion, as it leads to escalatory responses, increasing costs and growing resistance. Perhaps ‘angerism’ would better describe instrumental political terrorism that coerces political payoff.

This paper proposes several theoretical models to explore the payoff of coercive political violence. McCormick’s counterinsurgency model of population support is adapted to highlight the value of reaction. The cost-effectiveness of such ‘reverberative’ violence is then located on a spectrum of payoff directness. A political influence model shows a mechanism linking this violence with political change. These models are then used to inform a review of 150 terrorist campaigns since ancient times which categorises context, mechanisms and consequences and offers preliminary findings.

‘Successful Terrorism’: What is it and how can it be defined

Sarah Marsden

University of St. Andrews (Scotland)

The profile of terrorism is growing along with its lethality. Therefore, identifying what constitutes success in the mind of the terrorist, and those countering them, is of crucial importance in analysing and informing engagement with the phenomena. This is highlighted by an increasing demand for metrics to assess the efficacy of approaches to political violence in the political realm. This paper will begin by discussing what ‘success’ means for terrorists, their constituencies and counter-terrorists. Consideration will then be given to ways of identifying and quantifying those factors, of encompassing objective and subjective approaches. This will hopefully provide a foothold in the spectrum of political violence, with a focus on terrorism, which facilitates a rigorous engagement with the concept and measurement of ‘success’. The aim will be to highlight the importance of assessing engagement with terrorism and political violence;

to provide an outline of the current state of the knowledge; to propose measures for measuring success, and to promote discussion on the most appropriate and informed routes to academic investigation. Discussion of the implications of this approach will include the political, social and academic realms. This paper will draw on the speaker's background in Psychology and International Relations to provide a wide-ranging approach to the issue, informed by current debates in these fields and the wider political sphere.

- **Panel L: Some Considerations in Countering
Terrorism and Political Violence**

Nationalism, Terrorist Threat and Counter-Terrorism Strategies

Maciej Sekerdej

Lisbon University Institute (Portugal)

Malgorzata Kossowska

Jagiellonian University (Poland)

The paper addresses the role which national attitudes play in the perception of terrorist threat and in preference for specific counterterrorism strategies. Study 1 shows that participants higher on nationalism tend to perceive terrorism threat as more serious, particularly in its symbolic and personal dimensions, than participants lower on nationalism. Moreover, we found that nationalism mediates the relationship between perception of personal threat and the support for tough, domestic policy, even at the expense of some limitation of civil liberties. Study 2 confirms the link between perception of personal threat and support suspension of civil liberties. Nevertheless, it turned out that when terrorism is seen in terms of crime rather than in terms of war, the mediating role of nationalism disappears. The results contribute to a better understanding of the process whereby the perception of one's own national group and one's own nation/state may translate into reactions triggered by external threats.

Leaving Iraq, Imagining the Future – Is there a Way for the US to Make it Better?

Marcia Byrom Hartwell

University of Oxford (England)/US Army

That the United States invasion and occupation of Iraq has been a disaster for both is now old and mostly uncontested news. As the US Army prepares to withdraw, and global media attention shifts toward bloodier conflicts, new challenges arise for a military determined to fix what they did wrong. While this is an honorable approach, the question remains – can they rectify past mistakes? If so, how?

This paper proposes to examine the intent of an increasingly enlightened US military led by a new and enlightened President that many troops helped vote into power. The Army 1st Corps, based in Fort Lewis, Washington, has now replaced the 18th Airborne from Fort Bragg, North Carolina, as the de facto ‘peacekeeping mission’ MNC-I (Multi National Corps-Iraq) determined to lead the withdrawal from Iraq with diplomacy, support, and grace.

This paper will address whether or not a military force that was a primary catalyst for Iraq’s sectarian destruction can now help it thrive in the aftermath. This and related questions are analyzed through the lens of a social scientist, working with the Army in Iraq to help address key issues related to political violence in a more sensitive and nuanced manner. This analysis, building upon earlier interdisciplinary research and fieldwork on perceptions of justice, identity, political processes of forgiveness and revenge in early post-conflict transitions in Northern Ireland, Serbia, and South Africa, will describe the earliest processes of the US military in leaving Iraq, and examine a preliminary prognosis for the country’s future.

Illicit Trade and its Relationship with International Security

Natividad Carpintero-Santamaría

Polytechnic University of Madrid (Spain)

Illicit trade has developed in a big scope of areas, some of them representing an increasing important role in strategic terms for international security.

This paper will analyze some aspects of illicit trade on:

- Small arms and light weapons which has become a problem in several countries, thus contributing to violence, interethnic conflicts and social disintegration. This illegal practice is being faced by legitimate governments which are intensifying cooperation among their security forces, both in a regional and international context.
- Radioactive materials smuggling will also be considered. This traffic is presently a reason of concern for governments and international organizations, such as the United Nations and the International Atomic Energy Agency, which contemplate the possibility that this nuclear material could fall in the hands of terrorist organizations.

With the end of the Cold War new challenges have emerged for international security. Some war conflicts have resulted in social deterioration and violence that are in turn a direct frame for the abovementioned illicit activities.

• **Symposium IV: Shaping the Future by Remembering the Past**

Convenor: *Gavin J. Fairbairn* (Leeds Metropolitan University)

Participants: *Seidu Alidu*
Ayeray Medina Bustos
Gavin J. Fairbairn
Dave Webb

The symposium includes three closely related papers. Each addresses ways in which, following periods of oppression and political violence, reflecting on the past can contribute to the building of a new future.

Can we have Reconciliation without Apology and Forgiveness?

Gavin J. Fairbairn and Dave Webb

Leeds Metropolitan University (England)

In this paper we look at the concept and practice of reconciliation, and in particular at the importance that is sometimes given to apology and forgiveness in the attempt to build a more positive future, following conflict. Both apology and forgiveness have the possibility of contributing to the healing of relationships, whether at a personal or a societal level. In this way they can contribute to the creation of a more positive future that takes account of the broken past. En route, we consider the importance, for apology, forgiveness and reconciliation following political violence, of the attempt to establish the truth, and what that might mean.

Representations of Truth and Reconciliation

Seidu Alidu, Dave Webb and Gavin J. Fairbairn

Leeds Metropolitan University (England)

The attempt to re-image the past and uncover the truth surrounding human rights abuses after violent conflicts and political dictatorships is one of the central roles of Truth Commissions. This, of course, is the reason why the word ‘truth’ is included in the designation of many of the commissions created to investigate past human rights abuses. Examples include South Africa’s Truth and Reconciliation Commission; Chile’s National Commission on Truth and Reconciliation; and the Commission on the Truth for El-Salvador. Every violent conflict involves participants, with their own experiences and a range of perspectives. Attempts to re-image or represent certain events inevitably result in memories, emotions and prejudices becoming mixed, as perpetrators, victims and observers recall specific cases and many versions of the truth are expressed. In this paper we discuss the role that truth plays in the attempt to achieve reconciliation after political violence, through such mechanisms.

How can the Future be Imagined, when the Past is Struggling to Find Some Light?

Ayeray Medina Bustos

Leeds Metropolitan University (England)

In this paper I analyse a story from the time of the last coup d'état in Argentina, between 1976 and 1983. It is a personal story, in which I'm directly involved as a daughter, as a niece, as the child I was at that time and as the adult I am now. It is my mother's story, my aunt's story and my own story. The process of remembering is not easy. Re-opening old wounds brings anguish, and can be distressing. But recounting the past can provide a new version of a person's story by reconstructing their memory of the experience of violence and survival, thus enabling the reconstitution of their identity. Though it begins with personal stories, the paper links these to a discussion of ways in which society at large can be enabled to create shared memory and a better understanding of the past, in order that it can have the chance to build a more positive future.

- **Panel M: Explaining Political Violence and Collective Aggression from a Psychological and Behavioural Studies Perspective**

Women and War: Leadership and Outcomes

Sheila Pfafflin

Consultant (USA)

Psychological and behavioral studies relevant to societal expectations regarding women, war, and peace, will be reviewed, and evidence supporting or refuting these expectations will be presented. Examples of women's leadership in activities designed to reduce conflict and improve inter-groups relations in post-conflict societies will be examined for factors relevant to the origins and impact of such efforts. The importance of recognizing the gendered nature of war, and women's active participation in war and the after-effects of war, will be discussed, and the implications for women's roles in political violence and the aftermath of political violence will be considered, including implications for building stable and peaceful post-conflict societies.

Complex or Dangerous

Kinga Williams

Mensana Intercultural Psychological (England)

The talk sets out to explore what provides the persuasive power to various cultural world-views. Various cultures create their world-views by construing reality in particular ways, while rendering alternative world-views threatening. At times of danger (e.g. war, terrorism), world-views with a clear vision of an orderly world-structure (e.g. fundamentalism, communism) become increasingly attractive (Salzman 2006). It is suggested that their appeal is due to their simplicity. The presentation proposes that individual cognitive simplifications and socio-cognitive simplifications are very similar. Individuals under acute stress are well-known to resort to simplifying cognitions as a temporary labour-saving device to free up capacity – a process that results in cognitive errors. On a socio-cultural level the same tendency of cognitive simplification occurs, when the acute stress of increased mortality salience (e.g. Rosenblatt et al 1989) further amplifies the chronic, ultimate stress of mortality awareness (e.g. Greenberg et al 1997). The resulting socio-cognitive simplifications are as powerful as they are dangerous. Given that in the present cultural-political climate their appeal is on the increase, mindfulness of their workings is imperative.

- **Panel N: Conflict, Violence and the Role of Government/Other Actors**

Does Fair Government Engender Inter-National Conflict? The Ironic Relationship between Intra-National Justice and Inter-National Justice

Tomohiro Kumagai

Tohoku University (Japan)

National policy and international conflict is deeply mediated through two kinds of social justice. The one is the social justice within the nation (intra-national justice) that is related to the policy on tax, education, or employment, and the other is the social justice between nations (inter-national justice) that is related to war or international conflict. The

paper argues that these two types of social justice do not go together, rather they are in a dilemma. According to group value model by Lind and Tyler (1988), individuals feel respected when they are fairly treated within the group. This produces satisfaction with being in the group, thereby enhancing their identification with the group (Jackson and Smith, 1999). Further, the group identification intensifies ingroup favoritism. Individuals who have high ingroup favoritism would want to be evaluated more positively, thus they may tend to perceive that they are unfairly evaluated, and it would engender perceived intergroup injustice and international conflict. In this study, we examined this “Group-Justice dilemma” in the real life, using social survey data about Japan and China. We hypothesized that intra-national justice would enhance Japanese identification that enhances two types of ingroup favoritism: patriotism and nationalism, in which only nationalism would engender a sense of the international injustice, therefore, aggressive attitude against China. The results support the Group-Justice dilemma model, and also suggest that intra-national justice intensifies international conflict between Japan and China. Focusing on the differences between patriotism and nationalism, the psychological mechanism of Group-Justice dilemma and the ways to overcome it are discussed. Further, additional factors are examined to improve the model.

Lebanon: Post-War Reconstruction as Conflict by Other Means

Roger MacGinty

University of St. Andrews (Scotland)

This paper uses fieldwork on post-war reconstruction in Lebanon following the 2006 Israeli-Hezbollah war to frame reconstruction as a continuation and extension of conflict. In the case of Lebanon, the reconstruction conflict operates on three ‘fronts’: 1. Reconstruction as a symbol of continued resistance against Israel; 2. Reconstruction as part of the wider regional contest between western-oriented Sunni political interests versus anti-western (mainly Shia) political interests; and 3. Reconstruction as an extension of the confessional conflict within Lebanon.

The main focus of this paper is on the activities of Jihad al Bina (the reconstruction arm of Hezbollah) and the Waad initiative, a reconstruction

initiative in Beirut's southern suburbs and organised by Hezbollah. The paper will seek to explain the ways in which reconstruction is mobilised, justified, targeted and executed as an extension of conflict. The paper will conclude by conceptualising the meanings of 'reconstruction' given the competitive (even conflictual) nature associated with some post-war recovery activities.

• PROGRESS REPORT

Scientists and Human Rights: Joining Together to Stop Political Violence, Injustice, and Deprivation

Art Kendall

Capital Area Social Psychological Association (USA)

The American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) Science and Human Rights (SHR) office had a series of activities in honour of the 60th Anniversary of the United Nation's (UN) Universal Declaration on Human Rights (UDHR). The UDHR and later documents are the basis of international law with regard to human rights. One of these activities was to bring together a steering committee of representatives from scientific societies to form a coalition for dealing with issues of science and human rights. Dr. Kendall was the representative from CASPA, the Capital Area Social Psychological Association.

Why us? Dr. Kendall will discuss how much that behavioural scientists interested in aggression, terrorism, and political violence do can be expressed in human rights vocabulary. **The Coalition.** He will discuss the Launch of the Coalition on January 14 to 16 2009. The Launch included many speakers Including Mary Robinson, the former President of Ireland and currently the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights. He will also discuss the foundational documents of the Coalition. He will outline its purpose, guidelines, membership. **The Scientists on Call System.** In October of 2008, CASPA and the Washington Statistical Society sponsored an event to introduce the Scientists on Call system. AAAS provides an online system for physical, health, behavioural, and social scientists to volunteer to help with human rights activities. It also provides an online system for human rights activists to request scientific volunteers.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

(in alphabetical order)

Mr Seidu Alidu

School of Applied Global Ethics
Leeds Metropolitan University
SAGE
Macaulay Room 210
Headingley Campus
Leeds
LS6 3QS
England

E-mail: S.Alidu@leedsmet.ac.uk

Short bio: Seidu is a political theorist studying for a PhD in Peace and Development in the School of Applied Global Ethics at Leeds Metropolitan University, where he is one of 100 Centenary Scholars. He gained an MSc in Development Studies at the London School of Economics and Political Science, after a BA in Political Science at the University of Ghana. He worked briefly as a research intern with the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and Pacific and for a time as national service personnel with the Department of Political Science at the University of Ghana. In the future he is considering work in either the academic or non-profit sectors.

Dr Jean Allain

School of Law
Queen's University Belfast
Room 29.203
29 University Square
Belfast
BT7 1NN
Northern Ireland

E-mail: j.allain@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Jean is a generalist in public international law with a specialisation in human rights and an expertise in issues of slavery and trafficking. He completed his studies at HEI – *Institut universitaire de hautes études internationales* – the Graduate Institute for International Studies of the University of Geneva in 2000. Jean wrote his Master’s thesis at the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, in San José, Costa Rica, as a Fellow of the Organization of American States. While undertaking graduate studies in Geneva, he spent six months in The Hague, as a law clerk at the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, where he clerked for both the Deputy Registrar and the President of the Tribunal. In 1998, he was appointed Lecturer in Public International Law at the American University in Cairo, Egypt. In 2000, he became Assistant Professor in 2004. He was appointed Senior Lecturer at Queen’s University, Belfast in 2004 and Reader in 2008. In 2008, Jean was appointed Extraordinary Lecturer, Centre for Human Rights, Faculty of Law, University of Pretoria, South Africa.

Dr Daniel Antonius

New York University School of Medicine

Department of Psychiatry

550 First Avenue

NBV 22N10

New York, NY 10016

USA

E-mail: danantonius@gmail.com

Short bio: Daniel is an Assistant Professor at New York University School of Medicine, and the Coordinator for Forensic Sciences and Aggression Research at the *Institute for Social and Persistent Illness: Research, Education, and Services* (InSPIRES). Daniel’s research interests focus on neurobiological, behavioural, and societal factors that underlie violence and aggression manifested in diverse ways across individuals and groups. His research projects aim to advance our current knowledge on the underpinnings of aggressive behaviour, with the ultimate goal of improving prevention, intervention, and treatment. Daniel is the Co-Editor

of *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*, a new journal that disseminates theoretical ideas and empirical research within the field of terrorism and political violence. Daniel received his PhD in Clinical Psychology from the New School for Social Research, New York City, and he also holds a MA degree in forensic psychology from John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York City.

Dr Onder Bakircioglu

School of Law
Queen's University Belfast
28 University Square
Belfast
BT7 1NN
Northern Ireland

E-mail: o.bakircioglu@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Onder is currently a lecturer in law at Queen's University Belfast. He was called to the Istanbul Bar in 2000. His education includes an LLB Degree from the University of Istanbul; an MA degree in "Human Rights and Democratization" from the University of Malta; and an LLM degree in "International Human Rights Law" from the University of Essex. Onder received his PhD degree from University College Cork. He has published articles on matters related to the doctrine of self-defence in criminal law and international law, war and terror, just war doctrine and human rights. The journals that published his articles include *The Journal of Criminal Law*, *German Law Journal*, *Indiana International & Comparative Law Review*, *Tulsa Journal of Comparative and International Law*, *Third World Quarterly* (forthcoming), and *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* (forthcoming).

Ms Cornelia Beyer

Department of Politics and International Studies
University of Hull
Hull
HU67RX
England

E-mail: C.Beyer@hull.ac.uk

Short bio: Cornelia is Lecturer in Politics at the University of Hull. Her research interests are Terrorism and Counterterrorism, Global Governance and International Relations Theory. She is the author of *Violent Globalisms: Conflict in Response to Empire* (Ashgate, 2008), *Counterterrorism and International Power Relations: the EU, US and ASEAN in Hegemonic Global Governance* (IB Tauris, 2009), and *An intellectual biography of Prof. Kenneth Waltz* (forthcoming).

Dr Kimberley Bistis

Department of Mental Health
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
165 Quincy Street
Brockton
MA 02302
USA

E-mail: kbistis.str@gmail.com

Short bio: Kim is a clinical risk specialist for the southeastern area of the Massachusetts Department of Mental Health. She has a private psychotherapy practice in Boston and also specialises in psychological testing for forensic cases. For the past 10 years, she has been a member of and senior consultant for the local psychiatric emergency services team. She joined the governing board of the Society for Terrorism Research in 2007 and is currently the chair of membership.

Miss Jessie Blackburn

School of Politics, International Studies and Philosophy
Queen's University Belfast
University Road
Belfast
BT7 1NN

E-mail: jblackbourn01@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Jessie is a final year PhD Candidate in the School of Politics, International Studies and Philosophy at Queen's University Belfast. She

recently submitted her thesis entitled ‘The Northern Ireland Peace Process and the Post-9/11 Terrorism Crisis’ and is currently awaiting her Viva. Her research interests include terrorism and counter-terrorism legislation in Northern Ireland and the UK, comparative peace processes and the politics of the UK and Ireland. Her recent publications include ‘International Terrorism and Counterterrorist Legislation: The Case Study of Post-9/11 Northern Ireland’, published in *Terrorism and Political Violence* in 2009 and ‘Counter Terrorism and Civil Liberties: The United Kingdom Experience, 1968-2008’ published in the *Journal of the Institute of Justice and International Studies* in 2008.

Anita Blagojević

University of J. J. Strossmayer in Osijek
Faculty of Law
Radićeva 13
31000 Osijek
Croatia

E-mail: ablagoje@pravos.hr

Short bio: Anita has a LL. M and is currently an assistant in the Faculty of Law at the University of J. J. Strossmayer in Osijek.

Mr Gavin Boyd

School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB

E-mail: g.boyd@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Gavin joined the University of Ulster in 2007 following a long career in the criminal justice system. He was a Winston Churchill Fellow and his research into policing has taken him to South Africa and the USA.

Dr Marie Breen-Smyth

Centre for the Study of Radicalisation and Contemporary Political
Violence (CSRV)

Department of International Politics

Aberystwyth University

Aberystwyth

SY23 3DT

Wales

E-mail: mys@aber.ac.uk

Short bio: Marie is Director of the Centre for the Study of Radicalisation and Contemporary Political Violence (CSRV) at the University of Wales, and Treasurer of Healing Through Remembering, a cross-sectoral initiative on truth recovery in Northern Ireland. Previously, she was Head of Research and Communication with Criminal Justice Inspection Northern Ireland and a Non-Executive Director of the Northern Health and Social Services Board where she was Convenor for Complaints. She chaired the first Research Ethics Committee for Northern Ireland until July 2005. She was 2002-2003 Jennings Randolph Senior Fellow at the United States Institute of Peace, where she advised on Northern Ireland, and conducted an international comparative study on adolescent militarization in South Africa, Israel/Palestine and Northern Ireland.

Dr Adam Brown

Functional Neuroimaging Laboratory

Weill Medical College of Cornell University

Guest Faculty

Sarah Lawrence College

Weill Medical College

Cornell University

525 East 68th Street, Box 140

New York, NY 10065

E-mail: adb2004@med.cornell.edu

Short bio: : Adam earned his B.A. from the University of Oregon, followed

by his M.A. and Ph.D. from the New School for Social Research. He is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Weill Medical College of Cornell University. He is also a Guest Faculty at Sarah Lawrence College. As a clinical psychologist, Adam has special interests in clinical, cognitive, and neuroscientific approaches to memory and emotion; cognitive and neural basis of fear and anxiety; social influences on memory; evaluation and treatment of anxiety disorders; autobiographical memory; author of articles and book chapters on collective memory, memory implantation, and retrieval failure; and trauma and aggression. Adam is on the Governing Board of the Society for Terrorism Research and is an Associate Editor for the society's journal Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression. He is a founding Co-Editor of New School Psychology Bulletin.

Dr Kris Brown

Transitional Justice Institute
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: K.Brown@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Kris is currently an RCUK Post Doctoral Fellow at the Transitional Justice Institute, University of Ulster. His research interests focus on commemoration, memory and memorialisation in post conflict Northern Ireland, especially themes relating to victimhood, the nature of the conflict, relations with the state, the use of political symbols, and national identities. Internationally comparative approaches deepen aspects of this work. Other research interests include political developments within Ulster Loyalism and modern Irish Republicanism, and arms decommissioning. He has also written on Irish foreign policy.

Mr Brénainn Brunton

School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy

University of Ulster

Newtownabbey

BT37 0QB

Northern Ireland

E-mail: benbrunt@btinternet.com

Short bio: Benny is in his final year of study for his Ph.D. His research is concerned with examining how communities living in interface areas in Northern Ireland may become empowered through the regeneration of their areas socially and economically. He has presented his initial findings at a number of conferences and has undertaken teaching with the School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy.

Dr Dominic Bryan

Institute of Irish Studies

Queen's University Belfast

BT7 1NN

Northern Ireland

E-mail: d.bryan@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Dominic is Director of the Institute of Irish Studies at Queens University, Belfast, Chair of Diversity Challenges, and has worked with the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission and the Community Relations Council. Dominic is an anthropologist researching political rituals, public space and identity in Northern Ireland. His book *Orange Parades: The Politics of Ritual Tradition and Control* (Pluto Press 2000) used theories of rituals to examine parades organised by the Orange Order in Ireland. Dominic also works on issues around public order policing, human rights, ethnic politics and sectarianism and has done comparative work in South Africa and the US.

Mr Jonny Byrne

School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: Byrne-J1@email.ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Jonny is just completing his first year of Ph.D. study. His research is focussing on the issue of peace walls in Northern Ireland. Prior to his return to study Jonny worked for the Institute of Conflict Research based in North Belfast where he was involved in a number of research projects. He has also undertaken some teaching in the School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy.

Professor Natividad Carpintero-Santamaría

Polytechnic University of Madrid
José Gutiérrez Abascal nº 2
28006 Madrid
Spain

E-mail: NCSANTAMARIA@telefonica.net

Brief bio: Natividad is currently a professor at the Polytechnic University of Madrid and General Secretary of the Institute of Nuclear Fusion. She has a Ph.D., Diploma in High Studies of Defence and is also a Corresponding Member of the European Academy of Sciences. Natividad has published 77 papers, authored the book *The Atom Bomb: The Human Factor during Second World War* (Ediciones Díaz de Santos, 2007) and co-edited with Guillermo Velarde the book *Inertial Confinement Nuclear Fusion: A Historical Approach by Its Pioneers* (Foxwell and Davies (UK) Ltd Scientific Publisher, 2007).

Mr Frederick William Dixon

School of Politics and Communication Studies
Roxby Building
University of Liverpool
Liverpool
L69 7ZT
England

E-mail: freddie_dixon@hotmail.com

Short bio: Freddie is a recent graduate in International Politics and Policy from the University of Liverpool, with a particular interest in the relationships held between organised criminal gangs and aggressive police forces. He is hoping to gain a greater understanding of the issues surrounding political violence.

Ms Jo Doody

School of Law/TJI
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: Lett-J@email.ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Jo is her third year of Ph.D. study examining the issue of exclusion orders utilised by the British government during the Troubles. She has presented her findings at a number of conferences and has undertaken teaching in both the School of Law and in the School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy.

Felipe Duarte

Institute for Political Studies
Portuguese Catholic University, Lisbon
Calcada do Galvao, n° 119
3°dto – 1400-166
Lisbon
Portugal

E-mail: felipepatheduarte@gmail.com

Short bio: Felipe is a PhD student in Political Science and International Relations (Terrorism and Insurrection) in the Institute for Political Studies (Portuguese Catholic University). He earned a MA from the same university, and a BA in Philosophy from the University of Coimbra, Portugal. He was researcher at the Mario Soares Foundation, a think tank that promotes and sponsors cultural and scientific actions in the field of human rights and international relations. Currently, he is Assistant Secretary-General of the Portuguese Observatory for Security, Organized Crime and Terrorism. He also collaborates, as a researcher, with the international think tank EuroDefense Portugal. He is author of *No Crepúsculo da Razão: Considerações sobre o Terrorismo do Pós-Guerra Fria* (2007) prefaced by the Portuguese Internal Affairs Minister. He is also a visiting student at the University of Oxford (St. Antony's College).

Professor Gavin J. Fairbairn

Leeds Metropolitan University

The Grange

Headingley Campus

Beckett Park

LS6 3QS

E-mail: G.Fairbairn@leedsmet.ac.uk

Short bio: Gavin is a teacher and 'jobbing philosopher', who currently works as Professor of Ethics and Language at Leeds Metropolitan University. He has published widely about a wide range of topics, including the ethics of health and social care; mental health; learning disability; sexuality; the end of life; education; storytelling; empathy; peace, reconciliation and imaging war; academic literacy and style. Recent publications include (with David Canter) *Becoming an Author: advice for academics and other professionals* (OU Press, 2006); 'Unpicking threads: issues in the language and ethics of suicide', *Ethical Space*, 3 (4) 34 – 41, and 'Empathy, sympathy and the image of the other' (accepted for publication in *Peace Review*).

Dr Rona M. Fields

1618 Myrtle St. NW
Washington DC 20012
USA

E-mail: Rmfields27@aol.com

Short bio: Rona is the Founding Director of Associates in Community Psychology, a clinical and consulting firm based in Washington, DC Her books include: *Society on the Run* (Penguin Ltd. Hammondsworth, UK1973); *Society Under Siege* (Temple University Press 1977); *The Portuguese Revolution and the Armed Forces Movement* (Praeger Special Studies 1978); *Northern Ireland* (Transaction/Society Books New Brunswick, New Jersey 1980) *The Future of General Hall* (1985); *Martyrdom: The Psychology, Theology and Politics of Self Sacrifice* (Praeger, Westport Connecticut 2004) and most recently the chapter 'The Neurobiological Effects of Psychological Torture' in A. Ojeda *et al. The Trauma of Psychological Torture* (Praeger 2008). Rona is the National Spokesperson for The Children's Rights Council, immediate past president of the District of Columbia Psychological Association; Fellow of The American Psychological Association. She has written and been published in mainstream media as well as extensively published in academic books, monographs, journeys internationally. Since 1967 when she began her research and work in the Chicano Movement in California, her research has focused on violence and social change in the United States and abroad. She served on the Medical Commission of Amnesty International in their Campaign to Abolish Torture from 1974-77; undertook consultations and research for the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees in southeast Asia and for the United Nations Border Relief in Cambodia and Thailand. From 1987 to1997 she annually reviewed the United Nations Interim Forces in Lebanon studying the psychology of Peacekeeping. Furthermore, she has held Professorial appointments and Visiting appointments at many universities in the US and abroad. She is presenting Adjunct Professor at Howard University Medical School in Psychiatry.

Lorenzo Gabutti

RAI – Radiotelevisione Italiana
 Viale Mazzini 14
 Rome
 Italy

E-mail: lorgab1976@yahoo.it

Short bio: Lorenzo was born in Rome in 1976, was partly schooled in England and has read Philosophy at Rome La Sapienza University. He currently works at RAI, the Italian Television and Radio Corporation, where he researches archive footage for TV programmes.

Dr Gordon Gillespie

Institute of Irish Studies
 Queen's University Belfast
 BT7 1NN
 Northern Ireland

E-mail: g.gillespie@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Gordon is a Visiting Research Fellow at the Institute of Irish Studies, Queen's University, Belfast. He is the joint author (with Dominic Bryan and Clifford Stevenson) of reports examining the display of flags and emblems on main roads in Northern Ireland. His publications include; *Northern Ireland: A Chronology of the Troubles* (with Paul Bew) and *Years of Darkness: The Troubles Remembered*.

Dr Ayla Göl

Lecturer in International Politics
 Department of International Politics
 Aberystwyth University
 Aberystwyth
 SY23 3DT
 Wales

E-mail: ayg@aber.ac.uk

Short bio: Ayla holds a BA and an MSc from the University of Ankara, Turkey and a PhD from the London School of Economics (LSE). Before she joined Aberystwyth University in 2005 she lectured at the Department of International Relations, LSE between 2003 and 2005. Ayla was the inaugural John Vincent Visiting Fellow at the Department of International Relations, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University in Canberra in 2003. She also taught at the Department of International Relations at the Faculty of Political Science, the University of Ankara

Miss Anna Maria Grabowska

Nicolas Copernicus University
Baczynskiego 15
10-371 Olsztyn
Poland

E-mail: laumarang@gmail.com

Short bio: Anna Maria is a student of Sociology, Group Interests Department, at the Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń (Polska) and a member of the Center for Public Discourse Monitoring and Sociology Students' Scientific Association. Most of her research centers around media influence, the process of framing and the power of agenda-setting as the contemporary tools of symbolic power.

Dr Dominik Güss

Department of Psychology
University of North Florida
1 UNF Drive
Jacksonville
FL 32224
USA

E-mail: dguess@unf.edu

Short bio: Dominik received his Ph.D. from the Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg, Germany and is now Associate Professor at the Psychology Department of the University of North Florida. He also lived and worked in Brazil, India, and the Philippines. His main research areas

are cross-cultural psychological studies on decision making, complex problem solving, disaster management, and suicide terrorism. He recently developed a theoretical model explaining suicide terrorism, published in the *Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior*. His research was supported by the National Science Foundation and by the Humboldt Foundation. He was invited to present at the symposium on Global Security at the Oxford Round table at Oxford University.

Dr Lyndsey Harris

School of Social Sciences
 Room 420 Dawson Building
 Birmingham City University
 City North Campus
 Perry Barr
 Birmingham
 B42 2SU
 England

E-mail: Lyndsey.Harris@bcu.ac.uk

Short bio: Lyndsey was previously an Associate Lecturer in Politics at the School of Economics and Politics at the University of Ulster (Jordanstown) and a Visiting Lecturer at the Department of Social and Communications Studies at the University of Chester. Lyndsey is Chair of the Political Studies Association's Post Graduate Network (PGN); a Council member of the Conflict Research Society; and an IUS Armed Forces and Society Fellow. Her research interests include terrorism and political violence; strategic theory and Northern Irish Politics. Lyndsey is awaiting her *viva* for completed doctoral thesis entitled, 'A Strategic Analysis of Loyalist Paramilitaries in Northern Ireland After the Belfast Agreement', which employed a strategic theory framework to examine Loyalist paramilitary activity based upon empirical data including over fifty interviews with members and ex-members of the Ulster Defence Association and Ulster Volunteer Force.

Dr Marcia Byrom Hartwell

MNC-I (Multi National Corps- Iraq)

US Army

Baghdad

Iraq

E-mail: marcia.hartwell@wolfson-oxford.com

Short bio: Marcia has undertaken highly interdisciplinary research, fieldwork, and publications focusing on perceptions of justice as fairness, formation of post-conflict identity, and political processes of forgiveness and revenge in early post-conflict transitions. Her primary goal has been to develop an analytical framework integrating fieldwork and theory that more accurately reflects underlying dynamics present in violent peace processes and their impact on political cooperation between former enemies, formation /reform of political, economic, legal, security sector institutions, interaction with international aid/ development organizations and security forces. Primary research and fieldwork interviews (Northern Ireland, Serbia, South Africa) were conducted for completion of a D.Phil./PhD, Department of International Development/Refugee Studies Centre, Queen Elizabeth House, Oxford University, UK, and built upon a M.Sc. thesis, "The Role of Forgiveness in Reconstructing Society After Conflict", Development Studies Institute, London School of Economics. Undergraduate work on international economics and politics focusing on economies emerging from crisis (Eastern Europe, Northern Ireland) was completed for a B.A., major economics, minor government, Smith College, USA. She has been in Iraq since March 2009, working as a Senior Social Scientist embedded in the Reconciliation Team, Multinational Corps-Iraq.

Mrs Charlotte Heath-Kelly

Department of International Politics

Aberystwyth University

Aberystwyth

SY23 3DT

Wales

E-mail: cch08@aber.ac.uk

Short bio: Charlotte is a doctoral candidate at Aberystwyth University's Department of International Politics. Her research concerns the roles of agency and belief in individual and group transitions toward militancy, using the case studies of E.O.K.A., the Red Brigades, and the Hofstad network.

Mr Ali Hedayat

Political Systems and Institutional Change
 IMT Advanced Studies
 Piazza San Ponziano, 6
 55100 Lucca
 Italy

E-mail: a.hedayat@imtlucca.it

Short bio: Ali is pursuing a Ph.D. in Political Sciences at IMT Institute for Advances Studies in Lucca. He is specializing in the field of Social Movement Theories, Religious Activism and their Mobilization Dynamics. His current research project is a Qualitative Comparative Analysis of the Political and Economic Mobilization Resources of Islamic and Right-Wing Activism in the Federal Republic of Germany. His further research interests are: Totalitarianism and Authoritarianism, International Relations, Conflict Studies and the Studies of Terror and Political Violence used by paramilitary forces. Ali graduated at the University of Bremen where he wrote his Diploma-thesis on the Persistence of Authoritarian Regimes with Iran as a case-study.

Mr William Henderson

School of Law and Social Sciences
 Glasgow Caledonian University
 Cowcaddens Road
 Glasgow
 G4 0BA
 Scotland

E-mail: william.henderson@gcal.ac.uk

Short bio: William is a Lecturer in Law, Division of Law, Glasgow Caledonian University and Research Student, Faculty of Laws, University College London. William assisted the Secretariat of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court during the resumption of the seventh session of the Assembly, in the United Nations Headquarters, New York.

Mr Joshua B. Hill

Institute for the Study of Violent Groups
Sam Houston State University
Box 2448
Huntsville
Texas 77341-2448
USA

E-mail: jbh011@shsu.edu

Short bio: Josh gained his BA from the University of Central Florida (UCF) majoring in international relations and his MA (Criminal Justice) from Sam Houston State University. He is currently a lead analyst at the Institute for the Study of Violent Groups, contributing editor to *Terrorism – Crime and Justice International* and a fellow of the Office of the Special Assistant to the President for Global Perspectives, UCF.

Mr Stephen Hopkins

Department of Politics and International Relations
University of Leicester
University Road
Leicester
LE1 7RH
England

E-mail: sh15@leicester.ac.uk

Short bio: Stephen is currently a lecturer in the Department of Politics and International Relations at the University of Leicester. His primary research interest is the politics of Northern Ireland, with particular reference to the politics of memoir and autobiography, and the political legacy of the

conflict. He is also interested in the politics of West European communism and post-communism, with particular reference to questions of communist historiography, and the politics of memoir and autobiography.

Dr Richard Jackson

Department of International Politics
Aberystwyth University
Aberystwyth
SY23 3DT
Wales

E-mail: rsj@aber.ac.uk

Short bio: Richard joined the Centre for the Study of Radicalisation and Contemporary Political Violence in July 2007 where he currently lectures on critical terrorism studies, international conflict resolution, and security studies. He was awarded his PhD in Political Science from the University of Canterbury, New Zealand in 1998, and has previously taught at the University of Manchester (2003-2007), the University of Canterbury (2002), and the University of Otago, New Zealand (1997-2001). He is the founding editor of the journal *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, is co-convenor (with Piers Robinson, University of Manchester) of the BISA Critical Studies on Terrorism Working Group (CSTWG), and is on the editorial board of three journals: *Media, War & Conflict*, *Studies in Language and Capitalism*, and *The Journal of Arab & Muslim Media Research*.

Mr Albert Jongman

Dutch Ministry of Defence
Irislaan 253
Oegstgeest 2343 CL
The Netherlands

E-mail: berto.jongman@gmail.com

Short bio: Albert majored in western sociology at the University of Groningen in 1981. During his studies he gained practical experience as a research assistant at the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) in Sweden. From 1982 to 1987 he worked as a researcher at the

Polemological Institute of the University of Groningen where he dealt with several research topics including the quantitative study of war, political violence, armament and disarmament issues and human rights. In 1987, he moved to the University of Leiden where he acted as data manager of the Project on Interdisciplinary Research on the Root Causes of Gross Human Rights Violations (PIOOM). He also worked on several research projects, including the World Conflict and Human Rights Map, 20th Century Genocides and Monitoring Human Rights Violations. In 2002, he moved from academia to government. Since early 2002 he works as a senior terrorism analyst for the Dutch Ministry of Defense. In his current function he participates in a number of Advanced Research Working Groups of NATO.

Dr Emmanuel Karagiannis

Department of International and European Studies
University of Macedonia
Economic and Social Sciences,
156 Egnatia Street,
GR-540 06 Thessaloniki
Greece

E- mail: mkaragiannis@yahoo.com

Short bio: Emmanuel is a Lecturer in International Relations at the University of Macedonia in Greece and an Investigator at the DHS-sponsored University of Maryland's National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism. He also teaches courses on security studies at the Joint War College of Hellenic Armed Forces and the Hellenic National Defense College. He obtained his Ph.D. in Political Science from the University of Hull. His field of research was the connection between pipeline development and security in the Caucasus region. He received his B.A in European Community Studies from London South Bank University and an M.A in International Security Studies from the University of Reading. During 2005, he was a Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of Pennsylvania's Solomon Asch Center for the Study of Ethnopolitical Conflict. From January 2008 to February 2008 he was a visiting scholar at Yale's University Macmillan Center for International

and Area Studies. His articles on Hizb ut-Tahrir have been published in the following U.S. and British peer-reviewed journals: *State, Religion and Society*, *Europe-Asia Studies*, *Nationalities Papers*, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, and *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics*.

Dr. Arthur J. Kendall

Capital Area Social Psychological Association
6703 Forest Hill Drive
University Park, MD 20783
USA

E-mail: Art@DrKendall.org

Short bio: Art is retired from a Senior Mathematical Statistician position after 22 years at the US GAO where he spent much of his time working in National Security and International Affairs on behalf of the US Congress. Art helped in the establishment of Division 48 and served on the editorial board of its journal and has been active in the International Society of Political Psychology since it was started in 1978. Art is currently the Associate Editor for the journal *Dynamics of Asymmetric Conflict and Terrorism*, on the Advisory Board of the Society for Terrorism Research and on the editorial board for its journal, *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*. His undergraduate degree is in Philosophy including Ethics and he is the representative of the Capital Area Social Psychological Association to the Science and Human Rights Coalition of the AAAS.

Mr Charles Knight

Justice Studies (Faculty of Law)
Queensland University of Technology
GPO Box 2434
Brisbane QLD 4001
Australia

E-mail: ca.knight@qut.edu.au

Short bio: Charles has a twin-track military and security analysis background: originally a regular Army and then RAF officer; he spent

four years commanding indigenous troops in Arabia and served on counter-insurgency training teams elsewhere. On migrating to Australia in the 80s he joined the Commandos and became an urban operations specialist. The principal author of relevant Australian doctrine for a decade, he developed and introduced many combat training practices now in use. His reserve service has included commanding an infantry battalion, and he remains involved in Army research that supports capability development. Charles' civilian roles working for companies such as Control Risks Ltd have included ballistic armour and explosives research and development, security risk analysis focussing on terrorism and crisis response planning in the Australasian Region, as well as corporate security management at the National level. Since 9/11 he has become increasingly interested in understanding the mechanisms of effective political violence, particularly the role that states and other adversaries inadvertently play in enabling 'terrorist successes. The function within this of emotional responses to violence perceived as 'illegitimate' is the focus of his full-time PhD research at Queensland University of Technology.

Professor Małgorzata Kossowska

Institute of Psychology
Jagiellonian University
al. Mickiewicza 3
31-120 Kraków
Poland

E-mail: malgorzata.kossowska@uj.edu.pl

Short bio: Małgorzata is a professor in the Institute of Psychology at the Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland. She received her PhD in psychology from Jagiellonian University in 1996 and MA from the same university in 1992. Her areas of interest include cognitive and motivational underpinnings of political beliefs, cognitive rigidity and its relationships with problem solving and decision making. She published papers on psychological determinants on political beliefs, political conservatism and epistemic motivation. She published several books (e.g. *Strategies of action; Unchangeable mind*); she is also co-editor of a book *Social paths of cognition* and *New trends in social cognition*. She is a member

of the European Association of Experimental Social Psychology, the International Society of Political Psychology and the Polish Society of Social Psychology.

Dr Tomohiro Kumagai

Center for the Study of Social Stratification and Inequality
Tohoku University
1-20-6-201, Nakayama, Aoba, Sendai, Miyagi
JAPAN 981-0952

E-mail: kumagai@sal.tohoku.ac.jp

Short bio: Tomohiro is at present an assistant professor in the Center for the Study of Social Stratification and Inequality at Tohoku University (Global Center of Excellence Program). Both his Ph.D. and MA are from Tohoku University, prior to this he studied at Hosei University. Between 2005 and 2007, he was a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at Center for the Study of Social Stratification and Inequality, Tohoku University (The 21st century Center of Excellence Program). In 2007, he was also a visiting scholar at both Radboud University and Utrecht University in the Netherlands.

Dr. Christopher Lamont

Transitional Justice Institute
University of Ulster
Magee Campus
Northland Road
Londonderry
BT48 7JL
Northern Ireland

E-mail: ck.lamont@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Chris joined the Transitional Justice Institute, University of Ulster, as a post doctoral fellow in 2009. Chris holds a BA in International Studies from the University of Mississippi (2002), an MSc in International and European Politics from the University of Edinburgh (2005), and a PhD in Politics from the University of Glasgow (2008). Before joining the TJI, Chris lectured in the Politics Department at the University of Glasgow.

Previously Chris was a Fulbright fellow at the University of Zagreb (2002-2003), where he undertook research on post-conflict party system development in the city of Sisak, Croatia. Chris' research interests include international criminal justice with a focus on understanding compliance on the part of states and international organizations with orders and requests from international judicial bodies.

Dr Richard L. Legault

National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to
Terrorism (START)
3300 Symons Hall
University of Maryland
College Park MD 20742
USA

E-mail: rlegault@start.umd.edu

Short bio: Richard is a post-doctoral research fellow and co-director of the Terrorism and Preparedness Data Resource Center (TPDRC) and START's Empirical Analysis of Improvised Explosive Devices Project. He received his Ph.D. from the School of Criminal Justice at the State University of New York at Albany in 2006 where he also was assistant editor of the *Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics*. Richard performs research and quantitative analyses of survey data, policy evaluation, data usage and measurement, terrorism, and violence-reduction strategies.

Dr Brenda Liddy

Northern Regional College
Northern Ireland

E-mail: brenda@liddy208.fsnet.co.uk

Short bio: Brenda has recently completed her doctorate at the University of Ulster. She is currently publishing her first book, *Women's War Drama in the Seventeenth Century*. Her special interest is women's war drama. She has taught English at the University of Ulster and is currently teaching English in the Northern Regional College. Her ambition is to continue her research and she is currently working on her second book, *Warrior*

lover, fighting side by side to the death': The Representations of War in Female-authored Contemporary Irish Drama. She is interested in peace and reconciliation and is involved in cross-community projects in North Belfast. She is also interested in amateur drama and film and studies at the Crescent Arts Centre in South Belfast.

Professor Saideh Lotfian

Faculty of Law and Political Science
University of Tehran
Enghelab Avenue
Tehran
Iran

E-mail: slotfian@ut.ac.ir

Short bio: Saideh is Professor of Political Science at the Faculty of Law and Political Science at the University of Tehran. She is the Chair of the International Pugwash Council for the 2007-2012 Quinquennium. She received her Ph.D. from Michigan State University in political science, and was assistant professor of political science at the University of Iowa, TCU and Boston University from 1985-1991. She was a visiting researcher at Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) in Sweden in 1995, and the Visiting Iranian Fellow at the Middle East Centre, St Antony's College (University of Oxford) in 2003. She has written on non-proliferation and nuclear disarmament in the Middle East, security of the Persian Gulf and Central Asia, and foreign and defense policies of Iran.

Ms Yassin M'Boge

School of Law
Queen's University Belfast
BT7 1NN
Northern Ireland

E-mail: ymboge01@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Yassin (LLB (Honours) Law, LLM (International Criminal Justice and Armed Conflict)), began her doctoral studies at Queen's

University Belfast in January 2006 after completing an internship in the Legal Advisory Section of the Registry at the International Criminal Court based in the Hague in 2005. Her research investigates the relationship between the International Criminal Court and the UN Security Council and is entitled *A Constructive Partnership in Peace, Security and Justice: The Relationship between the International Criminal Court and the UN Security Council*. Her main areas of interest are international law, international criminal law, transitional justice and penal law. Since commencing her PhD, Yassin has taught on a number of law modules.

Dr Roger MacGinty

School of International Relations
University of St. Andrews
The Scores
St. Andrews
Fife
KY16 9AX
Scotland

E-mail: hrm21@st-andrews.ac.uk

Short bio: Roger is a Reader at the School of International Relations, University of St Andrews. His book includes: *No War, No Peace: The rejuvenation of stalled peace processes and peace accords*, *Conflict and Development* (with Andrew Williams) and *Contemporary Peacemaking* (edited with John Darby). He is editor of a new book series from Palgrave entitled 'Rethinking Political Violence'.

Sarah Marsden

Centre for the Study of Terrorism and Political Violence
International Relations
University of St. Andrews
The Scores
St. Andrews
Fife
KY16 9AX
Scotland

E-mail: sm992@st-andrews.ac.uk

Short bio: Sarah is currently based at the University of St Andrews, undertaking a doctorate in International Relations, and working as a researcher in the field of terrorism and political violence. This follows a period working as a Research Associate for Forensic Psychological Services at Middlesex University carrying out research and evaluation work in the criminal justice sector. Sarah's research interests focus on terrorism and political violence, in particular the evolution and characteristics of groups that use terrorism, the processes of radicalisation, and routes to engaging with violent extremism.

Mr Javier Martín-Peña

Department of Social Psychology
Faculty of Psychology
University of Barcelona
Mundet, Ponent
Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 171
08035 Barcelona
Catalonia
Spain

E-mail: javier_martin@ub.edu

Short bio: Javier is a Ph. D. Candidate and researcher in the Social Psychology Department at University of Barcelona (Spain). He is a member of a research group, which works in the study of psychological violence in different settings, formed by members of University of Barcelona and University Autonomous of Madrid, and supervised by Dr. Álvaro Rodríguez-Carballeira. He is currently working in his doctoral thesis, about the violence perpetrated by the ETA terrorist network in Basque Country, in Spain. Specifically his research is about the harassment and psychological terrorism phenomenon, often named "violence of persecution". He has undertaken a research period at the International Institute of Victimology of Tilburg (INTERVICT), Tilburg University, Netherlands and he will be at John Jay College of Criminal Justice (City University of New York) in the fall of 2009.

Dr. Asta Maskaliunaite

Baltic Defence College

Riia 12

51013 Tartu

Estonia

E-mail: Asta.Maskaliunaite@bdcol.ee

Short bio: Asta works at the Baltic Defence College, Tartu, Estonia as a lecturer in war and conflict studies. She received her Ph.D. from the Central European University, Budapest, Hungary, with the thesis entitled *A long-term terrorist campaign and political discourse: the role of ETA in Spanish politics*. Since then she has published broadly on the issues of terrorism, Basque and Spanish politics, as well as on the role of discourse in construction of a nation.

Dr Kareena McAloney

Institute of Child Care Research

Queen's University Belfast

6 College Park

Belfast

BT7 1LP

Northern Ireland

E-mail: k.mcaloney@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Kareena joined the Institute of Child Care Research (ICCR) at Queen's University Belfast as a Research Assistant/Statistician on the Belfast Youth Development Study in February 2008. Kareena received her BSc (Hons) in Social Psychology from the University of Ulster in 2002, a post-graduate diploma in Health Care Ethics and Law in 2004 from the University of Manchester and completed a PhD (2007) at the University of Ulster. Her PhD investigated the influence of religious segregation in Northern Ireland on psychological well-being, and the role of social capital in intergroup relationships. Kareena has several years experience as a youth worker and prior to her PhD she worked as an Assistant Psychologist in addictions. Her research interests focus on inter- and intra-group relationships, particularly those of marginalized and

deviant groups. Other research interests include well-being, social capital and statistical modeling.

Dr. Sara McDowell

School of Environmental Sciences
University of Ulster
Coleraine
BT52 1SA
Northern Ireland

E-mail: SP.McDowell@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Sarah joined the School of Environmental Sciences in 2007, prior to this she was a research associate at INCORE (University of Ulster). Her research interests are principally concerned with geographies of memory and the related themes of identity, heritage, power and territory. She is also interested in the geography of war.

Dr. Eugene McNamee

School of Law
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: e.mcnamee@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Eugene teaches in the School of Law at the University of Ulster. His research interests lie in legal and social theory with a particular interest in the interfaces between cultural, legal and political questions. Recent work has focused on Northern Irish constitutional issues (through film) and the Rwanda genocide (through related literature).

Ayeray Medina-Bustos

Leeds Metropolitan University
Leeds
LS6 3QS
England

E-mail: A.Medina-Bustos@leedsmet.ac.uk

Brief bio: Ayeray is a clinical psychologist from Argentina, who worked for many years at hospitals and health clinics in her home country. After that she continued her studies in Sweden and Norway, gaining the degrees of Master of Arts and Sciences in Child Studies, and in Scandinavian History, and Erasmus Mundus Master of Arts and Sciences in Applied Ethics. Currently a PhD student in Peace and Development at Leeds Metropolitan University, where she is one of 100 students who won Centenary Scholarships, Ayeray is a member of the Conflict Research Society, active in the Erasmus Mundus Association, and designer of the EMA logo.

Dr Rachel Monaghan

School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: r.monaghan@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Rachel joined the School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy in September 2005. Prior to this she worked as a Research Officer at the Institute for Conflict Research based in North Belfast. She has been researching informal justice mechanisms in Northern Ireland since 1998 and co-authored *Informal Justice in Divided Societies* (with Colin Knox) which was published by Palgrave Macmillan in 2002. She has also published a number of articles in *Terrorism and Political Violence*, *Journal of Conflict Studies*, *Space and Polity*, *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, *Low Intensity Conflict and Law Enforcement* and in the *International Criminal Justice Review*

Dame Nuala O'Loan

and for UNSCR 1325,
Women Peace and Security

E-mail: nuala_oloan@yahoo.com

Short bio: Dame Nuala O’Loan is Ireland’s Roving Ambassador for Conflict Resolution and Special Envoy to Timor Leste and for UNSCR 1325, Women, Peace and Security. She has held a number of public appointments and served as the first Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland, responsible for the investigation of all complaints against the police, and of other matters involving possible police wrongdoing not the subject matter of complaint. Dame Nuala is a qualified solicitor and held the Jean Monnet Chair in European Law at the University of Ulster. She recently chaired a statutory inquiry into human rights in England and Wales, and is conducting a review of allegations of abuse of people being deported from the UK for the United Kingdom Border Agency.

Dr Sheila Pfafflin

173 Gates Avenue

Gillette

NJ 07933

USA

E-mail: sheilpnj@lycos.com

Short bio: Sheila gained a BA from Pomona College in 1956 and a PhD from The Johns Hopkins University in 1959. She worked both for Bell Laboratories as a member of technical staff (1959-1975) and human resources at AT&T (1975-1998). She is a fellow of the American Psychological Association, American Psychological Society, American Association for the Advancement of Science, New York Academy of Sciences and the Association for Women in Science. She is past President of the Association for Women in Science and the Association for Women in Science Educational Foundation. She is also a past member of the Board of Trustees Ramapo College, New Jersey. She has served on various advisory boards concerning issues of equity for women in science and has worked in the fields of psychoacoustics, human learning and industrial/organizational psychology.

Dr. Gabriele Porretto

Transitional Justice Institute
University of Ulster
Magee Campus
BT48 7JL
Northern Ireland

E-mail: g.porretto@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Gabriele (PhD Int'l Law, University of Rome "La Sapienza") joined the Transitional Justice Institute at the University of Ulster in February 2009. He has held academic positions in Switzerland from 2002 to 2005 (University of Geneva, Centre of International Humanitarian Law; Graduate Institute of International Studies; University of Lausanne) and in Australia from 2005 to 2008 (Australian National University College of Law; University of Western Sydney Law School). His research interests are in public international law, international criminal law, international humanitarian law and human rights law.

Professor J. Martín Ramírez

Head, Sociopsychobiology of Aggression Research Group
Universidad Complutense Madrid
Pico de la Pala, 6 28792 Miraflores (Madrid)
Spain

E-mail: mramirez@med.ucm.es

Short bio: Martín is the Chairman of CICA and Chair of the Spanish Pugwash Movement. He has a PhD in Medicine and Neurosurgery, and in Education plus a Diploma on National Defence. He is a member of the Editorial Board for a number of journals including the *International Journal on World Peace*, *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression* and *The Open Journal of Criminology*. Martín is also a former officer of the International Society for Research on Aggression, a former International Security Fellow at Harvard University and Postdoctoral Fellow at the Department of Psychology of Stanford University.

Dr. Paul Reilly

University of Glasgow

E-mail: paulreilly14@hotmail.com

Short bio: Paul is a Part-Time Lecturer at the University of Glasgow. He has researched and published on the online communication strategies of both civil and uncivil actors in the Northern Irish context. His current research focuses on the relationship between the use of social networking websites and social capital creation in Northern Ireland.

Dr Julian Richards

Centre for Security and Intelligence Studies

Buckinghamshire University

Hunter Street

Buckingham

MK18 1EG

England

E-mail: julian.richards@buckingham.ac.uk

Short bio: Julian graduated from Cambridge University in 1993 with a PhD in political violence in Pakistan. He has spent the last 16 years working in central government on defence and security issues, but has latterly remained involved with academic research on global security and political violence, initially with Brunel University, then, since 2008 with the University of Buckingham's Centre for Security and Intelligence Studies (BUCSIS), of which he is the joint founder. In addition to teaching on the University of Buckingham's MA in Security and Intelligence Studies, Julian is also an Associate of Bradford University's Pakistan Security Research Unit (PSRU), a member of the European Ideas Network, and delivers short-course training on intelligence techniques and counter-terrorism to public-sector clients.

Ms Carmel Roulston

School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy

University of Ulster

Newtownabbey

BT37 0QB

Northern Ireland

E-mail: mcm.roulston@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Carmel studied Russian at Queen's University Belfast, and then Area Studies at the School of Slavonic and East European Studies, University of London. Since 1990 she has been researching women in conflict societies, on which she has published articles and presented papers. She is co-editor (with Celia Davies) of *Gender, Democracy and Inclusion in Northern Ireland* (Palgrave, 2000). Currently, Carmel is working with Rachel Monaghan on a study of Ulster Protestants as a pro-state suspect community, and has developed a teaching interest in Criminology.

Mr Martin Russell

Clinton Institute for American Studies

University College Dublin

Ireland

E-mail: martinrussell01@yahoo.com

Short bio: Martin has a B.A. History and Mathematical Studies (University College Cork), M.A. American Studies (Clinton Institute for American Studies, University College Dublin). His M.A. Thesis was entitled 'Northern Ireland Peace Process and U.S. foreign policy: Reasoning and the Limitations of Memory'. His research focuses around the relationship between memory and identity within the domain of U.S. foreign policy and he is aiming to investigate how the nature of this relationship informs and challenges current perceptions on the creation and implementation of U.S foreign policy. This idea provides the core of his PhD research, which examines the role of Irish-America in the Northern Ireland peace process.

Miss Rim Saab

Cardiff University
School of Psychology
Tower Building
Park Place
Cardiff CF10 3AT
Wales

E-mail: SaabR@cf.ac.uk

Short bio: Rim grew up in Lebanon and gained her BA Psychology (with distinction) from the American University of Beirut, Lebanon (2005) and her MSc Research in Psychology from Oxford University, UK (2007). After this she moved to Cardiff University to do embark upon a Ph.D. in social psychology under the supervision of Professor Russell Spears and Dr. Nicole Tausch. Rim is now in her second year of study and her general research area is concerned with the social-psychological predictors of collective action.

Dr. Maciej Sekerdej

Lisbon University Institute
Escadas do Monte 4
1DT 1170252 Lisbon
Portugal

E-mail: Maciej.Sekerdej@iscte.pt

Short bio: Maciej is a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the Centre for Social Research, Lisbon University Institute. His research interests cover principally the areas of intergroup relations, prejudice, stereotyping and nationalism. He has published papers on antisemitism, nationality stereotypes and psychology of religion. Currently he is involved in the research on the group processes in the framework of Relational Models Theory and theories of embodiment.

Mrs Amanda M. Sharp Parker

University of South Florida
Department of Criminology
306 SE 28th Terrace
Cape Coral, FL 33904
USA

E-mail: amsharp@mail.usf.edu

Short bio: Amanda is a doctoral student in the Department of Criminology at the University of South Florida in Tampa. She received her Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Criminal Justice from East Carolina University in Greenville, North Carolina. Amanda's areas of research interest include emerging terrorism threats (specifically cyberterrorism), cross-national terrorism trends and the application of criminal theory to the understanding and explanation of terrorism. Amanda and her husband, Chris, are the proud parents of daughter Tatiana Marie and currently reside in South Florida.

Dr. Kirk Simpson

Transitional Justice Institute
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: KD.Simpson@ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Kirk is a RCUK Post Doctoral Research Fellow at the Transitional Justice Institute, University of Ulster. He holds a BA (Hons), PGCE, and PhD from Queen's University Belfast, and has written extensively on dealing with the past and political violence in Northern Ireland. He is the author of two books: *Truth Recovery in Northern Ireland: Critically interpreting the past* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2009); and *Unionist Voices: The Politics of Remembering the Past in Northern Ireland* (London: Palgrave, 2009).

Dr. Samuel Justin Sinclair

Massachusetts General Hospital & Harvard Medical School
Department of Psychiatry
One Bowdoin Square, 7th Floor
Boston, MA 02114
USA

E-mail: jsinclai@msn.com

Short bio: Justin is Co-Founder and President of the Society for Terrorism Research (STR; www.societyforterrorismresearch.org), and is currently an Instructor in Psychology and Clinical Psychologist at the Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School. He is also Founder and Co-Editor-in-Chief of the peer-refereed journal, *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*, and has developed and collaborated with an international Editorial Board comprised of roughly 80 experts representing 14 countries and 5 continents. He has published numerous papers in the areas of terrorism, aggression, violence, psychological assessment, and psychometrics, and continues to have active research programs in these areas. Justin is also the developer of the *Terrorism Catastrophizing Scale* (TCS), a psychological assessment tool measuring anticipatory fears about terrorism. He is past recipient of the Association for Threat Assessment Professional's (ATAP) Dr. Chris Hatcher Memorial Scholarship Award (2007), and his research and scholarship has been featured in numerous publications. Justin's clinical and research interests are in terrorism, aggression, violence, risk assessment, psychological and neuropsychological assessment, psychometrics, and forensic psychology.

Professor Russell Spears

Cardiff University
School of Psychology
Tower Building
Park Place
Cardiff CF10 3AT
Wales

E-mail: spearsr@cf.ac.uk

Short bio: Russell is a professor of psychology at Cardiff University. His research interests fall broadly in the field of social identity and intergroup relations. Within this area he has conducted research intergroup differentiation and discrimination between both natural and experimental (minimal) groups in order to understand the nature and function of these processes, and how they result from different threats to identity (e.g. threats to status, distinctiveness, etc). He has also conducted research on social stereotyping processes and has examined a range of cognitive and group-level processes underlying stereotype formation and development. He has published in a number of journals including the *Annual Review of Psychology* and the *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.

Ms Aisling Swaine

Transitional Justice Institute
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: Swaine-A@email.ulster.ac.uk

Short bio: Aisling is a first year PhD student undertaking research on the phenomenon of violence against women in conflict and post-conflict societies. Previous to this, she worked for over seven years in conflict affected contexts in Africa and South East Asia with the UN and international NGOs, more recently consulting to the Irish government foreign policy and aid programme.

Dr. Nicole Tausch

Cardiff University
School of Psychology
Tower Building
Park Place
Cardiff CF10 3AT
Wales

E-mail: tauschn@cardiff.ac.uk

Short bio: Nicole obtained her D.Phil at the University of Oxford in 2006. She is currently a British Academy Postdoctoral Fellow at Cardiff University where she is working on a project examining predictors of support for terrorism. Her research interests lie broadly in the areas of social identity, intergroup relations, prejudice, and collective action. She has published work on intergroup contact, group-based threat, and trait attribution in journals such as *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *British Journal of Social Psychology*, and *Political Psychology*.

Sara Templer

Institute of Irish Studies
Queen's University Belfast
BT7 1NN
Northern Ireland

E-mail: stempler01@qub.ac.uk

Short bio: Sara is a PhD student in Anthropology at the Institute of Irish Studies, Queen's University Belfast. She is interested in interdisciplinary approaches to understanding conflict and transition from violence, with an emphasis on ethnographic methods and the value of linking academic and policy-oriented research. Her current project explores the roles played by victims of political violence in contexts of political and social transition, examining the factors which influence how victims' voices contribute to national conversations around transitional justice processes. Over the last three years Sara has worked for Community Relations Council with a number of their core-funded victim and survivor support groups, as a research assistant at the Irish School of Ecumenics (Trinity College Dublin), and with the cross-community think tank Healing Through Remembering.

Steve John Thompson

Rhetorics, Communication, and Information Design Program
Clemson University
206A Strobe Tower
Clemson, SC 29634
USA

E-mail: stevent@clemson.edu

Short bio: Steven is a media analyst who evaluates media iconics as the rhetorics behind the collusion and collision between the media and terrorism. He teaches communication courses at Clemson University, where he is a candidate in the Rhetorics, Communication, and Information Design PhD program. You can follow his research in media iconics at <http://iconics.ws>.

Mr John Topping

School of Criminology, Politics and Social Policy
University of Ulster
Newtownabbey
BT37 0QB
Northern Ireland

E-mail: jr.topping@live.co.uk

Short bio: John has submitted his Ph.D. on community policing in the post-Patten period and has just started a lectureship in criminology at the University of Ulster. He has presented his research findings at both national and international conferences and published in the *British Journal of Criminology* and in *Policing and Society*.

Mr Mateusz Trawiński

Nicolas Copernicus University
ul. Olimpijska 6c/40
87-100 Toruń
Poland

E-mail: mattie.rai@gmail.com

Short bio: Mateusz is a student of Sociology, Group Interests Department, at the Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń (Polska) and a member of Sociology Students' Scientific Association. His current research interests are: political and economical transformation in Poland, contemporary sociological theory and social studies of science.

Dr Tali K. Walters

Society for Terrorism Research
Assistant Professor - Tufts Medical Center
Forensic Psychologist
PO Box 590094
Newton, MA 02459
USA

E-mail: tkwstr@gmail.com

Short bio: Tali is a senior forensic psychologist at the Tufts Medical School/Dr. Solomon Carter Fuller Mental Health Center in Boston, Massachusetts where she holds the title of Assistant Professor. She consults to the Massachusetts Department of Mental Health and to criminal defense attorneys in her private forensic mental health consulting practice. In 2006, she joined the Society for Terrorism Research where she has contributed her talent and skill as the conference organizer, associate editor to the society's journal *Behavioral Sciences of Terrorism and Political Aggression*, recruited board members, and coordinated volunteers.

Professor Dave Webb

Director, Praxis Centre for the Study of Information Technology for
Peace, Conflict and Human Rights
Leeds Metropolitan University

E-mail: D.Webb@leedsmet.ac.uk

Brief bio: Dave is Professor of Engineering and Director of the Praxis Centre (for the 'Study of Information Technology for Peace, Conflict and Human Rights') at Leeds Metropolitan University. His current research interests focus on space security and issues in peace and conflict resolution. In September 2008 he chaired an international multidisciplinary conference on *Imaging War*, funded by the European Science Foundation. Recent publications include 'Space Weapons – Dream, Nightmare or Reality?' in *Securing Outer Space* (Jan 2009) in the Routledge Series on Critical Security Studies, and he is a guest editor for a forthcoming issue of the journal *Peace Review*.

Mr Harrison Weinstein

Palo Alto University
1791 Arastradero Road
Palo Alto
California
CA 94304
USA

E-mail: HWeinstein@pgsp.edu

Short bio: Harrison is a graduate student at Palo Alto University (previously known as the Pacific Graduate School of Psychology) in California. He was a recent contributor to the American Psychological Association (APA) Graduate Students programme at the APA's 117th Annual Convention in Toronto, where he was a participant in the session on the 'Role of Graduate Students in Psychological First Aid Training'.

Dr. Kinga Williams

MENSANA Intercultural Psychological Consultancy
29 Lockstile Way
Oxfordshire
Goring-on-Thames
RG8 0AL
England

E-mail: mensana@mail.com

Short bio: Kinga (MA PhD BSc DipClinPsych UKCPreg) is a Clinical Psychologist, Cognitive-Behavioural Psychotherapist, and Lecturer in Abnormal Psychology, with a special interest in the psychopathology of immigration and culture shock in adults and the elderly. Trained both in her native Hungary and in Britain where she lives, Kinga is co-founder of Mensana International Psychological Consultancy (Est. 1999) specializing in the applied psychology of international transitions.

PARTICIPANTS' INDEX

(in alphabetical order)

Alidu	26, 73, 74, 79
Allain	14, 30, 79
Antonius	22, 80
Bakircioglu	17, 41, 81
Beyer	15, 36, 81
Bistis	25, 82
Blackbourn	16, 82
Blagojević	22, 61, 83
Boyd	17, 40, 83
Breen-Smyth	17, 42, 44, 84
Brown, A	20, 84
Brown, K	18, 49, 85
Brunton	20, 55, 86
Bryan	14, 33, 86
Byrne	20, 54, 87
Carpintero-Santamaría	18, 25, 72, 87
Dixon	88
Doody	17, 40, 88
Duarte	16, 38, 88
Fairbairn	25, 26, 73, 74, 89
Fields	23, 27, 67, 90
Gabutti	15, 36, 91
Gillespie	23, 66, 91
Göl	17, 42, 45, 91

Grabowska	22, 62, 92
Güss	15, 34, 92
Harris	23, 65, 93
Hartwell	25, 72, 94
Heath-Kelly	17, 42, 43, 94
Hedayat	22, 63, 95
Henderson	14, 30, 31, 95
Hill	15, 19, 51, 96
Hopkins	21, 60, 96
Jackson	17, 42, 43, 97
Jongman	97
Karagiannis	16, 39, 98
Kendall	28, 78, 99
Knight	24, 69, 99
Kossowska	16, 18, 25, 37, 71, 100
Kumagai	27, 76, 101
Lamont	14, 30, 101
Legualt	15, 35, 102
Liddy	20, 58, 102
Lotfian	17, 19, 54, 103
M'Boge	14, 30, 31, 103
MacGinty	16, 27, 77, 104
Marsden	25, 70, 104
Martín-Peña	24, 67, 105
Maskaliunaite	20, 63, 106
McAloney	23, 24, 68, 106
McDowell	23, 64, 107
McNamee	19, 52, 107
Medina-Bustos	26, 73, 75, 107

Monaghan	13, 23, 27, 28, 66, 108
O'Loan	13, 29, 108
Pfafflin	27, 75, 109
Porretto	14, 30, 32, 110
Ramírez	13, 19, 28, 110
Reilly	20, 56, 111
Richards	24, 111
Roulston	13, 112
Russell	18, 50, 112
Saab	17, 18, 46, 48, 113
Sekerdej	25, 71, 113
Sharp Parker	24, 69, 114
Simpson	18, 50, 114
Sinclair	13, 14, 115
Spears	18, 46, 47, 48, 113
Swaine	19, 53, 116
Tausch	17, 18, 46, 47, 48, 116
Templer.....	117
Thompson	20, 57, 117
Topping	20, 23, 66, 118
Trawiński	22, 62, 118
Walters	23, 28, 119
Webb	26, 73, 74, 119
Weinstein	15, 33, 120
Williams	27, 76, 120

NOTES

NOTES

NOTES

NOTES

NOTES